

# Atmospheric pressure as a natural climate regulator for a terrestrial planet with a biosphere

King-Fai Li<sup>1</sup>, Kaveh Pahlevan, Joseph L. Kirschvink, and Yuk L. Yung

Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

Edited by Norman H. Sleep, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, and approved April 10, 2009 (received for review September 24, 2008)

**Lovelock and Whitfield suggested in 1982 that, as the luminosity of the Sun increases over its life cycle, biologically enhanced silicate weathering is able to reduce the concentration of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) so that the Earth's surface temperature is maintained within an inhabitable range. As this process continues, however, between 100 and 900 million years (Ma) from now the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will reach levels too low for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, signaling the end of the solar-powered biosphere. Here, we show that atmospheric pressure is another factor that adjusts the global temperature by broadening infrared absorption lines of greenhouse gases. A simple model including the reduction of atmospheric pressure suggests that the life span of the biosphere can be extended at least 2.3 Ga into the future, more than doubling previous estimates. This has important implications for seeking extraterrestrial life in the Universe. Space observations in the infrared region could test the hypothesis that atmospheric pressure regulates the surface temperature on extrasolar planets.**

evolution | Gaia | greenhouse | habitability

The Earth is inhabitable largely because its atmosphere contains 2 principal greenhouse gases (water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub>) that serve as a blanket to trap heat emitted from the surface; these regulate the global mean temperature within the range in which life can evolve. If such an atmosphere were absent, the global mean temperature would be only  $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$  (1), and life, which relies on liquid water, would not exist. The standard model of stellar evolution (2) suggests that the solar luminosity was only 70% of the current value when the Sun formed, and has been steadily increasing to its current value over the past 4.6 billion years. This implies that the global mean temperature should have been increasing with time. However, the geological record indicates that our planet has stayed well within the inhabitable range of  $\approx 0$ – $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  since the Archean (3), aside from a few possible “Snowball Earth” events (4, 5). Climate models also suggest that the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> at the surface ( $P_{\text{CO}_2}$ ) 3,500 Ma ago was as large as 7,000 Pa and has declined to the preindustrial value of 28 Pa (6). Had  $P_{\text{CO}_2}$  remained unchanged at the level of 7,000 Pa, the present-day surface temperature would have been much more than  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the upper limit for most eukaryotic life. Walker et al. (7) suggested abiotic carbonate–silicate weathering as a mechanism that reduces the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, but it was Lovelock and Whitfield (3) who first proposed biologically enhanced silicate weathering as the primary mechanism regulating the temperature throughout the history of the Earth. The model proposed by Lovelock and Whitfield (3) suggested that  $P_{\text{CO}_2}$  would drop to  $\approx 15$  Pa, the lower limit for photosynthesis by C<sub>3</sub> plants, in  $\approx 100$  Ma, implying the end of the photosynthetic biosphere. This idea was further developed by Caldeira and Kasting (8) with detailed calculations including C<sub>4</sub> plants that can use CO<sub>2</sub> at pressures as low as 1 Pa. As the solar luminosity increases, the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> should drop to 15 Pa in 0.5 billion years or gigaannum (Ga) and to 1 Pa in 0.9 Ga, eventually reaching a runaway greenhouse (9) that renders the Earth's surface uninhabitable. In their model, a saturated atmosphere was assumed, which allows the positive feedback by water vapor as the Earth's surface warms up. More elaborate

models that include biological and geodynamic processes have also been developed (10, 11), but estimates for the life span of the biosphere remain at  $\approx 1$  Ga.

All of these previous studies focused on the greenhouse effect due to direct absorption by atmospheric species such as water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub>, whose radiative forcings are  $\approx 80\text{ Wm}^{-2}$  and  $\approx 30\text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , respectively. However, atmospheric pressure also plays a critical role in the greenhouse effect through broadening of the infrared absorption lines of these gases by collisional interaction with other molecules (mainly N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> in the present atmosphere) (1). In other words, if the total atmospheric pressure were lower, the climate forcing of greenhouse gases would be smaller, the magnitude of the greenhouse effect would be less, and the global mean temperature would drop (see Fig. 2 and later discussions). If, via natural or artificial processes, it were possible to reduce the Earth's atmospheric pressure, the life span of the biosphere would be extended.

Geochemical and geobiological processes may have varied atmospheric pressure throughout the history of the Earth (12). Biology regulates overall atmospheric pressure in 2 related but distinct ways. First, the  $\approx 2 \times 10^4$  Pa of oxygen in the present atmosphere is maintained directly by oxygenic photosynthesis: photosystem-II, operating in green plants, algae, and cyanobacteria. With the possible exception of O<sub>2</sub> produced by the sudden release of peroxides accumulated in the ice caps of a Snowball Earth (5), no other mechanism—biotic or abiotic—is known to be capable of maintaining even trace amounts of this gas in the Earth's atmosphere (see ref. 4 for a recent discussion).

The second pressure component in the Earth's atmosphere that interacts with biology is the reservoir of gaseous N<sub>2</sub>; at nearly 78% volume mixing ratio (vmr), it has the largest influence on pressure broadening of the greenhouse gas absorption bands. The atmospheric nitrogen budget is ultimately tied to the oceanic primary productivity and the subsequent burial of organic material in the sediments. In the present ocean, organic matter is incorporated into living organisms in the stoichiometric Redfield ratio of 106:16:1 for C, N, and P (13). Thus, the C/N ratio is  $\approx 6.6$ . But when the organic carbon is buried in the marine sediments, its C/N ratio is much larger because N, an essential nutrient, has been stripped for recycling (see, e.g., chapter 11 of ref. 14). There are measurements of nitrogen in sediments, hard crustal rocks, and the mantle (15–17): The estimated amounts in the atmosphere, crust, and mantle are  $2.8$ ,  $0.4 \pm 0.2$ , and  $5.5 \pm 1.9$ , respectively, in units of  $10^{20}$  mol of N (15). These measurements show that it is possible to sequester on the order of an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> in the crust and mantle. The higher N/rock ratios seen in the data are believed to be associated with biology.

Thus, natural processes may have caused variations in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> in the history of the Earth, a result that is

Author contributions: K.-F.L., K.P., J.L.K., and Y.L.Y. designed research; K.-F.L. performed research; K.-F.L. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; K.-F.L. analyzed data; and K.-F.L., K.P., J.L.K., and Y.L.Y. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at: 1200 East California Boulevard, MC 150-21, Pasadena, CA 91125. E-mail: kfl@gps.caltech.edu.





