# Ultrafine-grained magnetite in deep-sea sediments: Possible bacterial magnetofossils

# Joseph L. Kirschvink Shih-Bin R. Chang

170-25, Department of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

## ABSTRACT

A new extraction technique now permits ultrafine magnetite crystals to be separated from a variety of deep-sea sediments. Morphologic characterization of these particles with transmission electron microscopy reveals the presence of several distinct crystal types, some of which closely resemble those formed by the magnetotactic bacteria. The apparently biogenic magnetite particles are of single-domain size and dominate the population in calcareous deep-sea sediments. Bacterially precipitated magnetite may therefore be a major source of the stable magnetic remanence in some marine sediments. These objects possibly constitute the smallest mineral fossils yet recovered from the sedimentary record.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Deep-sea sediments have been known to be stable c arriers of natural remanent magnetization ever since the work of Opdyke et al. (1966). Subsequent paleomagnetic investigations on cores obtained by the Deep Sea Drilling Project and from marine sequences now exposed on land provide the basis for the direct correlation between the magnetic polarity time scale deduced from marine magnetic lineations and the biostratigraphic time scale determined from the study of planktonic microfossils and nannofossils (e.g., Alvarez et al., 1977; Tauxe et al., 1983; Lowrie and Channell, 1984). As a result of these investigations, geomagnetic reversal boundaries now provide the most reliable chronostratigraphic markers for post-Jurassic time (Harland et al., 1982) and form the basis for all estimates of sea-floor spreading rates.

Despite the importance of these studies, the mechanisms with which deep-sea sediments acquire their stable magnetization are poorly understood. Although a majority of rock-magnetic investigations implicate ultrafine-grained magnetize particles (< 0.5 km across) as the carrier of the stable magnetization in marine sediments (Lowrie and Heller, 1982), most attempts to extract these particles from sediments have been plagued with low extraction efficiencies in this small size range and incomplete separation of magnetic minerals from other components of the sample. In some samples, small proportions of the total magnetic material have been isolated, and the particles that are removed fall at the large end of the grain-size distribution (Kobayashi and Nomura, 1974). Compounding these extraction problems, several workers have used Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) rather than Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) to characterize these particles. With SEM it is easy to identify grains larger than 0.5 µm (i.e., the pseudo-single-domain to multidomain range for magnetite), and all such studies reveal objects of either terrigenous, volcanic, or authigenic origin (Lovlie et al., 1971; McCabe et al., 1983). However, none of these studies shed light on the origin of particles in the sub-0.1µm fraction.

Magnetite crystals biochemically precipitated by the magnetotactic bacteria are a potential source of this ultrafine -grained fraction in deepsea sediments. Extant bacteria have been found living in both marine and freshwater environments, principally in the poorly oxygenated zone near the mud-water interface (Blakemore, 1975, 1982). They exist in both the Northern and Southern Hemispheres and at the geomagnetic equator (Kirschvink, 1980; Blakemore et al., 1980; Frankel et al., 1981), and have been found in open marine environments (Blakemore and Frankel, 1981). The dimensions of all bacterial magnetite crystals measured with the TEM and published to date plot within the boundaries of singledomain stability field as determined by Butler and Banerjee (1975) and shown here in Figure 1 B. This property apparently results from natural selection for magnetotaxis on the size, shape, and number of the crystals in each bacterium (Kirschvink, 1982). Three distinctive particle morphologies have been reported to date, including subrounded cubes and rectangles (Balkwill et al., 1979), hexagonal prisms with flat ends (Towe and Moench, 1981; Matsuda et al., 1983), and a rare teardrop shape in some bacteria from New Zealand (Blakemore et al., 1980). All of these forms are clearly distinct from the octahedral, spherical, and framboidal magnetite particles that commonly form through igneous, cosmic, and authigenic processes in nature.

We report here results from new extraction, purification, and TEM sample preparation techniques that result in a higher yield of the fine-grained fraction and permit classification based on crystal morphology. Single-domain magnetite crystals of both biogenic and inorganic affinities appear to be present in varying amounts, but the biogenic fraction dominates the calcareous oozes. These objects appear to be the smallest mineral fossils yet found in the sedimentary record, are bioinorganic particles of presumed prokaryotic origin, and may be of use for measuring paleo-oxygen levels.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Deep-sea piston-core samples of various sedimentary types were obtained from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the LamontDoherty Geological Observatory. The sample sites range from the equator to mid-latitudes of the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans, and they vary in age from early Oligocene to Holocene. Various properties of samples examined to date are shown in Table 1. The extraction and sample-preparation procedures are the same as those described by Chang and Kirschvink (1984). X-ray analysis was made with the Debye-Scherrer method on magnetic separates.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We tested the extraction efficiency of our purification procedure on flow-in material from two south Atlantic cores, RC 16-138 and RC 16-150, and we found that 90° of the magnetic particles in the samples have been removed, a figure that is much higher than the 50% reported by Lovlie et al. (1971). X-ray diffraction analysis on the final magnetic extract shows that either magnetite or maghemite is the dominant phase, and the smoothness of the lines implies that fine-grained particles are abundant. Examination of the extract with optical microscopy also reveals that most particles are below visible resolution (< 1  $\mu$ m) in size. These features are common for extracts of all sedimentary types that we have examined to date.

For determining the fraction of remanence carried by minerals other than magnetite, chemical dissolution experiments using solutions of dithionite-citrate buffered with bicarbonate (DCB) were performed on the bulk samples. DCB was first used by Mehra and Jackson (1960) to dissolve magnetic minerals in soils, and it is now routinely used in soil studies to determine nonmagnetite iron fractions (e.g., Walker, 1983). We have shown that this solution can dissolve a variety of magnetic minerals, including hematite, maghemite, goethite, and pyrrhotite, in a few days without altering fine-grained magnetite (Kirschvink, 1981; Chang and Kirschvink, 1985). Therefore, the decrease in saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (sIRM) after this treatment measures the moment carried by minerals other than magnetite. About 40% of the sIRM of our sample is removed with this treatment, indicating that about 60% of the sIRM is carried by magnetite; the remainder presumably is maghemite. Although we have studied samples from only two deep-sea cores in this detail, other work on the magnetic minerals in pelagic and hemipelagic sediments reveals that fine-grained magnetite is also the main



D

Figure 1. A: Low-magnification (22 400 X) electron micrographs of magnetite extracts from sample core 31, sec. 3, 9-11 cm, of DSDP Leg 73. Scale bar =  $0.5 \mu$ m. B: Plotted into single-domain stability field diagram for magnetite (Butler and Banerjee, 1975), size and shape distribution of grains shown in A range from superparamagnetic to multidomain. Each solid square represents average of 50 grains. Each asterisk represents 1 Individual grain. C: Electron micrograph of magnetite extract for sample core 31, sec. 2, 129 cm, showing typical single-domain particles. Scale bar =  $0.1 \mu$ m. D: Electron micrograph of magnetite extracts from sample core 2, sec. 2, 70 cm depth. Scale bar =  $0.5 \mu$ m High magnification image of 1 paramagnetic (SPM) grain (indicated by arrowhead) is shown in right upper corner (scale bar =  $0.05 \mu$ m). Fuzzy outlines of SPM grains imply that they are remaining cores of surficially oxidized grains after the dissolution treatment.

magnetic phase (e.g., Lovlie et al., 1971; Karlin and Levi, 1983). In summary, the abundance of fine-grained magnetite implies that it plays an important, if not major, role in carrying remanence of some marine sediments.

Results discussed in the preceding section say nothing about the biogenic vs. inorganic origin of the ultrafine-grained magnetite in marine sediments. As mentioned earlier, many minerals that are precipitated biochemically under matrix-mediated control have crystal shapes that are distinctly different from their inorganic counterparts (Lowenstam, 1981). It should therefore be possible to recognize some of the biogenic magnetites, particularly those from the magnetotactic bacteria, on the basis of particle size and morphology alone. TEM examination of our separates reveals three general classes of fine-grained magnetite particles that we designate as A, B, and C as follows: Type A grains are 0.02 to 0.05 'µm in length, and their aspect ratios vary from 0.9 to 1.0 (e.g., superparamagnetic); type B grains are 0.06 to  $0.15 \,\mu\text{m}$  in length, and their aspect ratios vary from 0.6 to 0.9 (single-domain); type C grains are pseudosingle-domain and multidomain particles longer than about 0.2 µm.

As can be seen in Figure 1 B, the sizes and shapes of particles measured from the final magnetite extract of one sample from the South Atlantic fall mainly in type B, which overlaps with those of typical single-domain grains from magnetotactic bacteria. They also share some of the same cuboidal and hexagonal forms as those of bacterial magnetite (Fig. 1, C and D).

The presence of numerous type-A grains (superparamagnetic size) is probably an artifact of our magnetite extraction procedure, particularly the DCB treatment that removes all iron minerals except magnetite. We have not observed many of these particles in extracts prior to the DCB dissolution step, and all that we have observed after treatment show fuzzy outlines (Fig. 1D). It seems probable that these are the remaining cores from larger type-B grains that had been partially oxidized to maghemite on the surface. This would account for the significant maghemite component noted earlier, as well as the sIRM drop during DCB treatment.

It is clear from these results that single-domain magnetite crystals that resemble those of biogenic origin are present in deep-sea sediments. Although there is as yet no direct evidence that these forms are produced by the magnetotactic bacteria, the similarity is striking, On the basis of observed population densities and growth rates, Kirschvink and Lowenstam (1979) and Towe and Moench (1981) found that the potential bacterial contribution in the deep sea was large enough to produce a significant fraction of the observed natural remanent magnetization. With the possible exception of the green algae and chitons (class Polyplacophora), none of the other organisms that are known to produce magnetite crystals (tuna, salmon, cetaceans, etc.) are found in large enough numbers to contribute a significant amount to the sediments. Yellowfin tuna, for example, make only about 20 ng of magnetite in a small tissue within the dermethmoid bone, but the crystal morphology strongly resembles the morphologies of the bacteria (Walker et al., 1984). Chiton teeth have not yet been found in the fossil record, nor is the fate of the individual crystal clumps released by tooth wear known (Kirschvink and Lowenstam, 1979). Similarly, reports of magnetotactic algae remain undocumented to date, and no other magnetotactic microorganisms have been reported from the marine plankton.

We have found abundant particles of single-domain magnetite in all sediments with medium to high CaC03 content and with relatively high

ABLE 1.	MAGNETIC	<b>GRANULOMETRY</b>	AND	OTHER	PROPERTIES	OF	DEEP-SEA	SAMPLES
---------	----------	---------------------	-----	-------	------------	----	----------	---------

	RC 16-138	RC 16-150	14 PV	35 PV	35 PV	103 P _	DSDP Leg 73, Site 522*		
							Core 2	Core 15	Core 31
							Pleistocene	Early Miocene	sarly oligocene
locality	Southwest	Southwest	Eastern	Equatorial	Equatorial	Middle	South	South	South
meane)	Atlantic	Atlantic	equatorial	Pacific	Pacific	equatorial	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic
	(27º03'S.	(15"46.5'S.	Pacific			Pacific	(26°07'S,	(26°07'S,	(26°07'S,
	40°10'W)	38°38.7'W)					5°08'W)	5*08'W	5°08'¥)
Depth (m)	3.1	3.5	4.1	0.2	5.5	3.6	5	57	124
Lithology	Foran	Sandy	Siliceous	Foram	Marl	Foram	Calcareous	Marl	Calcareous
	mar1	foram	clay	rad. marl		ooze	ooze		00 Z E
Carbonate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	High	High	Moderate	High
content									
Color	Gravish	Grayish	Gray	Yellowish	Dark	Grayish	Pale grayish	Grayish	Tellowish
	orange	olive	000000	brown	brown	orange	orange	brown	orange
NRM	8.31	1.59	0.32	2.90	3.32	6.91	7.21	33.40	7.52
(10 <sup>-7</sup> Am <sup>2</sup> /kg)						(Sec 2,70cm)	(Sec 3,40cm)	(Sec 3,9cm)	
							6.35	22.10	6.10
							(Sec 3,82cm)	(Sec 2,120cm)	(Sec 2,129cm)
IRM at a	32.90	1.97	2.06	4.05	3.15	28.10	12.60	34.20	12.10
0.720 Tesla							(Sec 2,90cm)	(Sec 3,40cm)	(Sec 3,9cm)
impulse .							7.80	29.30	9.95
(10 <sup>-4</sup> Am <sup>2</sup> /kg)						(Sec 3,82cm)	(Sec 2,120cm	)	(Sec 2,129cm)
Sedimentation						9.3	1.6	9.2	
rate (m/m.y.)									
Magnetic	A: Rare	A: Rare	A: Rare	A: Moderate	A: Abundant	A: Rare	A: Rare	A: Rare	A: Rare
granulo-	B: Abundan	t B: Rare	B: Rare	B: Abundant	B: Rare	B: Abundant	B: Abundant	B: Rare	B: Abundant
metry	C: None	C: None	C: None	C: None	C: None	C: Abundant	C: Rare	C: None	C: Abundant

sedimentation rates (>8 m/Ma) examined so far. They are extremely rare or nonexistent in silty clay, siliceous marl, and sediments with low deposition rates (< 5 m/Ma). High deposition rates may help to prevent oxidation of these particles as well as to minimize the exposure time to bacterial iron scavenging.

The presence of magnetite crystals of probable biogenic origin in deep-sea sediment raises many questions concerning the nature of the magnetization process. In particular, the laboratory redeposition experiments of magnetite-bearing sediments described by Verosub (1977) and Barton and McElhinny (1979) probably do not accurately reflect the remanence acquisition process in nature because they were done too rapidly for significant bacterial growth to occur. The organic matrix material that holds the magnetite particles in place along the magnetosome of the bacteria (Balkwill et al., 1979) may also serve to bind them to other particles within the sediment after death. A "glue" of this sort could be responsible for the difficulties encountered by others who have tried to extract and purify the fine magnetic particles. Although the action of sediment burrowers may dislodge some of this "glue," the mucoproteins left behind with their fecal pellets may well serve a similar function by stimulating bacterial growth. Processes of this sort would tend to reduce the acquisition of postdepositional remanence (pDRM) from Brownian motion. This could explain why some deep-sea cores preserve high-resolution records of geomagnetic transitions (Opdyke et al., 1974; Clement and Kent, 1983; Theyer et al., 1982). As yet, there is no evidence in the above studies to suggest a drop in the production of bacterial magnetite during a geomagnetic transition, as has been suggested for two reversals in Crete (Valet and Laj, 1981; Kirschvink, 1982; Chang and Kirschvink, 1984).

## CONCLUSIONS

The presence of single-domain magnetite crystals of apparent biogenic origin may be responsible for much of the stable natural remanent magnetization found in marine sediments. If these particles are indeed of biogenic origin, they would be by far the smallest fossils yet recovered, and could justifiably be called either picofossils or magnetofossils. A wide variety of other prokaryotes are also known to precipitate similarly sized mineral hard-parts (Lowenstam, 1981), but they have not yet been found in the fossil record.

## **REFERENCES CITED**

- Alvarez, W., Arthur, M.A., Fischer, A.G., Lowrie, W., Napoleone, G., Premoli Silva, I., and Roggentine, W.M., 1977, Upper Cretaceous-Paleocene magnetic stratigraphy at Gubbio, Italy; V. Type section for the Late CretaceousPaleocene geomagnetic reversal time scale: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 88, p. 383-389.
- Balkwill, D.L., Maratea, D., and Blakemore, R.P., 1979, Ultrastructure of a magnetotactic spirillum: Journal of Bacteriology, v. 141, p. 1399-1408.
- Barton, L.E., and McElhinny, M.W., 1979, Detrital remanent magnetisation in five slowly redeposited long cores of sediment: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 6, p. 229-232.
- Blakemore, R.P., 1975, Magnetotactic bacteria: Science v. 190, p. 377-379. -1982, Magnetotactic bacteria: Annual Review of Microbiology, v. 36, p. 217-238.
- Blakemore, R.P., and Frankel, R.B., 1981, Magnetic navigation in bacteria: Scientific American, v. 245, p. 58-65.
- Blakemore, R.P., Frankel, R.B., and Kalmijn, Ad. J., 1980, South-seeking magnetotactic bacteria in the southern hemisphere: Nature, v. 286, p. 384-385.
- Butler, R.F., and Banerjee, S.K., 1975, Theoretical single-domain grain size range in magnetite and titanomagnetite: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 80, p. 4049-4058.
- Chang, S.R., and Kirschvink, J.L., 1985, Possible biogenic magnetite fossils from the Miocene marine cky of Crete, in Kirschvink, J.L., Jones, D.S., and McFadden, B.J., eds., Magnetite biomineralization and magnetoreception in organisms: A new biomagnetism: New York, Plenum Press., pp. 647-669.
- Clement, B.M., and Kent, D.V., 1983, The upper Olduvai polarity transition as recorded in southern hemisphere deep-sea core: EOS (American Geophysical Union Transactions), v. 63, p. 219.

- Frankel, R.B., Blakemore, R.P., Torres de Aranjo, F.F., Esquivel, D.M.S., and Danon, J., 1981, Magnetotactic bacteria at the geomagnetic equator: Science, v. 212, p. 1269-1270.
- Harland, W.B., Cox, A.V., Llewellyn, P.G., Picton, C.A.G., Smith, A.G., and Walters, R., 1982, A geologic time scale: Cambridge, England, Cambridge University Press.
- Karlin, R., and Levi, S., 1983, Diagenesis of magnetic minerals in recent hemipelagic sediments: Nature, v. 303, p. 327-330.
- Kirschvink, J.L., 1980, South-seeking magnetic bacteria: Journal of Experimental Biology, v. 86, p. 345-347.
- -1981, A quick non-acidic chemical demagnetization technique for dissolving ferric minerals: EOS (American Geological Union Transactions), v. 62, p. 45.
- -1982, Paleomagnetic evidence for fossil biogenic magnetite in Western Crete: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 59, p. 388-392.
- Kirschvink, J.L., and Lowenstam, H.A., 1979, Mineralization and magnetization of chiton teeth: Paleomagnetic, sedimentologic and biologic implications of organic magnetite: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 44, p. 193-204.
- Kobayashi, K., and Nomura, M., 1974, Ferromagnetic minerals in the sediment cores collected from the Pacific Basin: Journal of Geophysics, v. 40, p. 501-512.
- Lovlie, R., Lowrie, W., and Jacobs, M., 1971, Magnetic properties and mineralogy of four deep-sea cores: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 15, p. 157-162.
- Lowenstam, H.A., 1981, Minerals formed by organism: Science, v. 211, p. 1126-1131.
- Lowrie, W.J., and Channell, J.E.T., 1984, Magnetostratigraphy of the JurassicCretaceous boundary in the Maiolica Limestone (Umbria, Italy): Geology, v. 12, p. 44-47.
- Lowrie, W.J., and Heller, F., 1982, Magnetic properties of marine limestones: Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, v. 20, p. 171-192.
- Matsuda, T., Endo, J., Osakabe, N., and Tonomura, A., 1983, Morphology and structure of biogenic magnetite particles: Nature, v. 302, p. 411-412.
- McCabe, C., Van tier Voo, R., Peacor, D.R., Scotese, C.R., and Freeman, R., 1983, Diagenetic magnetite carries ancient yet secondary remanence in some paleozoic sedimentary carbonates: Geology, v. 11, p. 221-223.
- Mehra, O.P., and Jackson, M.L., 1960, Iron oxide removal from soil and clays by a dithionite-citrate system buffered with sodium bicarbonate: Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 7, p. 317-327.
- Opdyke, N.D., Glass, B., Hays, J.D., and Foster, J. 1966, Paleomagnetic study of antarctic deep-sea cores: Science, v. 154, p. 349-357.
- Opdyke, N.D., Burckle, L.H., and Todd, A., 1974, The extension of the magnetic time scale in sediments of the central Pacific Ocean: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 22, p. 300-306.

Tauxe, L., Tucker, P., Petersen, N.P., and Labrecque, T.L., 1983, The magnetostratigraphy of Leg 73 sediments: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 42, p. 65-90.

- Theyer, F., Herrero-Bervera, E., and Hammond, S.R., 1982, The Jaramillo and Matuyama-Brunhes polarity transitions as recorded in equatorial Pacific sediments: EOS (American Geophysical Union Transactions), v. 63, p. 304.
- Towe, K.M., and Moench, T.T., 1981, Electron-optical characterization of bacterial magnetite: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 52, p. 213-220.
- Valet, J.P., and Laj, C., 1981, Paleomagnetic record of two successive Miocene geomagnetic reversals in western Crete: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 54, p. 53-63.
- Verosub, K.L., 1977, Depositional and postdepositional processes in the magnetization of sediments: Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, v. 15, p. 129.
- Walker, A.L., 1983, The effects of magnetite on oxalite and dithionite extractable iron: Soil Science Society of America Journal, v. 47, p. 1022-1026.
- Walker, M.M., Kirschvink, J.L., Chang, S.R., and Dizon, A.E., 1984, A candidate magnetic sense organ in the yellowfin tuna, *Thunuus albacarex* Science, v. 224, p. 751-753.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Partially supported through National Science Foundation Grants PCM 8203627, EAR-8121377, and BNS-8300301 to Kirschvink. We thank Lisa Tauxe (Scripps Institution of Oceanography), the Deep-Sea Drilling Project, and the Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory for providing samples of deep-sea sediments, and Jean-Paul Revel (California Institute of Technology) for use of the electron microscopes. California Institute of Technology Contribution No. 4036.

Manuscript received January 30, 1984 Revised manuscript received May 9, 1984 Manuscript accepted May 17, 1984