Magnetic characterization of isolated candidate vertebrate magnetoreceptor cells
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Over the past 50 y, behavioral experiments have produced a large body of evidence for the existence of a magnetic sense in a wide range of animals. However, the underlying sensory physiology remains poorly understood due to the elusive nature of the magnetosensory structures. Here we present an effective method for isolating and characterizing potential magnetite-based magnetoreceptor cells. In essence, a rotating magnetic field is employed to visually identify, within a dissociated tissue preparation, cells that contain magnetic material by their rotational behavior. As a tissue of choice, we selected trout olfactory epithelium that has been previously suggested to host candidate magnetoreceptor cells. We were able to reproducibly detect magnetic cells and to determine their magnetic dipole moment. The obtained values (4 to 100 fAm²) greatly exceed previous estimates (0.5 fAm²). The magnetism of the cells is due to a μm-sized intracellular structure of iron-rich crystals, mostly single-domain magnetite. In confocal reflectance imaging, these produce bright reflective spots close to the cell membrane. The magnetic inclusions are found to be firmly coupled to the cell membrane, enabling a direct transduction of mechanical stress produced by magnetic torque acting on the cellular dipole in situ. Our results show that the magnetically identified cells clearly meet the physical requirements for a magnetoreceptor capable of rapidly detecting small changes in the external magnetic field. This would also explain interference of ac power lines with magnetoreception, as reported in cattle.

Results

Visual Detection of Candidate Cells. In order to identify magnetic material containing cells, we exposed a dissociated trout olfactory epithelium preparation to a moderately strong external magnetic field (2 mT) rotating slowly (0.33 Hz) in the focal plane of the microscope. Using these conditions, we observed the rotation of rare objects within the preparation. The dissociation of a pair of olfactory rosettes yielded, on average, 10⁴ single cells in suspension, of which between one and four were found to rotate synchronously with the magnetic field. This result was observed in more than 50 animals. The majority of the magnetic cells had an elongated shape with typical dimensions of 10 to 20 μm and aspect ratio of 1.6, as the one shown in Fig. L.4. Another interesting feature of these cells is that they all contained an opaque inclusion under transmitted light (Fig. L.4, red arrows), which was highly reflective when observed in reflective light dark field.
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was that the reflective objects seen in light microscopy carry the magnetism of the cell. Therefore, it must be an iron-rich material because iron is the only element known to occur in biogenic magnetic minerals.

The transferred cell shown in Fig. 3 suffered a loss in integrity, giving us the opportunity to test whether the reflective object is strongly associated with the membrane or contained within the cytoplasm. As can be seen by comparing the reflected-light image (see SI Text) with the backscattered-electron image (Fig. 3B), the reflective object corresponds to the bright spot that strongly backscatters electrons. In contrast, no high-contrast feature is observable at that position in the secondary-electron image (Fig. 3B), which shows the surface properties of the sample. Since secondary electrons do not originate from deeper than 10 nm in a solid material, we can tell from the secondary-electron image (Fig. 3B) that the reflective object is a cellular inclusion and not an external contaminant that would otherwise be clearly visible as

Intracellular Iron Detection. Some of the rotating cells were transferred onto clean glass slides in order to study the nature of the reflective objects (Fig. 3, red arrow). Our working hypothesis
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a high-contrast secondary-electron feature. Elemental analysis of the region containing the high-contrast backscattered-electron feature shows a strong iron peak (Fig. 3C), which was not seen outside that region. In contrast, the cytoplasm residue neither exhibited reflective objects nor had iron detectable by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis. The observation that the reflective object in the magnetically extracted cell corresponds to the iron-rich, high-contrast backscattered-electron feature demonstrates that the reflective object is responsible for the magnetic properties of the cell. The magnetic inclusion most likely is mechanically anchored to the cell membrane rather than freely suspended in the cytoplasm because it remained in the cell after disruption rather than having been expelled with the cytoplasm.

**Magnetic Characterization.** The hypothesis that the magnetic inclusion has a mechanical connection to the cell membrane implies that the magnetic cell rotates at the same frequency as the driving frequency of the external magnetic field. Conversely, if the magnetic inclusion is only freely suspended in the cell, then there will be differential rotation between the cell membrane and the magnetic inclusion, as a consequence of which the cell will rotate at a distinctly slower rate (SI Text).

The cells were observed to rotate at the same frequency as the driving frequency of the external magnetic field. The time lapses displayed in Fig. 1A shows a 174 \( \pm 2^\circ \) turn corresponding to 22 frames recorded at 15 fps, thus giving a frequency of 0.330 \( \pm 0.003 \) Hz, which agrees with the driving frequency of 0.330 Hz. We did not observe any significant deviation between the driving and the cellular spinning frequency in any cell. From the absence of a frequency lag, we can conclude that the magnetic inclusions are directly coupled to the cell membrane rather than just viscously. This allows us to determine the magnetic dipole moment of the cells using the rotating field method (see Materials and Methods, Theory).

The magnetic dipole moment \( \mu \) of the external magnetic field intensity \( H \) in Fig. 4. Most importantly, one can see that the magnetic moment is largely independent of the magnetic field strength over the field range covered here, which indicates that the cellular dipole moment is due to remanence-bearing—most likely single-domain—particles as opposed to superparamagnetic or multidomain particles, which would exhibit a pronounced dependency of \( \mu \) on \( H \). Neither the fish nor the dissociated cells had been exposed to fields larger than 3 mT, which is below the switching fields required to remagnetize biogenic single-domain particles of magnetite in salmon (20–22). Hence, the measured magnetic dipole moments most likely reflect their natural values.

**Test for Cytoskeletal Connection of Magnetic Inclusion.** The previous results have shown that the particle is located inside the cell (Figs. 2 and 3) and has a firm mechanical connection to the cell membrane. To specifically test whether the magnetic inclusions have a connection to the cytoskeleton, we used pharmaceutical agents, latrunculin B and colchicine, to specifically disrupt the cytoskeletal filaments F-actin and microtubules, respectively. If connected through these filaments to the cell membrane, the magnetic inclusion will become detached upon disruption, which then will result in differential rotation. We tested six cells with both drugs and found no decrease in the rotation rate of the magnetic cell relative to the driving frequency. From this observation, we conclude that these cytoskeletal filaments are not necessary for maintaining the mechanical connection of the magnetic inclusion to the cell membrane.

**Discussion**

We are able to unambiguously identify a cell as magnetic by its dynamic response to a rotating field. The method has three advantages in the search for candidate magnetite-based magnetoreceptor cells:

1. Detection of magnetic cells is highly specific, whereas other techniques based on iron detection (e.g., Prussian Blue staining of tissue sections) may give false positives, because not all biological iron compounds are (ferri)magnetic. Using moderate magnetic field amplitudes, we can rule out false positives in the form of cells with inclusions of antiferromagnetic compounds [e.g., macrophages containing ferritin-like granules, siderosomes, and hemosiderin (23)], whose induced magnetization would become important only in much stronger magnetic fields. To achieve this goal, we accept false negatives represented by larger cells carrying a relatively low remanent magnetic moment, i.e., cells with magnetorotational mobility \( \alpha \) much smaller than the \( f_{B} / B \) ratio we set when searching for spinning cells in suspension (SI Text). Usage of a stronger magnetic field (lower \( f_{B} / B \) ratio) is likely to increase the experimentally accessible range of magnetic cells towards low values of \( \alpha \) but comes with the risk of obtaining false positives, which would then have to be sorted out using different methods. In such a case, a simple criterion for the presence or absence of magnetic remanence would be a field-flip test on a magnetic object in the suspension. A cell carrying magnetic remanence would rotate by 180\(^\circ\), whereas objects with induced magnetization would not. Further, we suggest the absence of distinct reflective particles in the visible cellular structure as a criterion for identifying false positives.

2. The method allows for a very sensitive measurement of the magnetic dipole moment of the cell, which is a key parameter in theoretical models of the receptor sensitivity threshold. The cellular magnetic dipole moments determined range from 4–100 fAm\(^2\), which in a typical present-day Earth-strength magnetic field of 0.05 mT corresponds to a magnetic-to-thermal energy ratio \( \mu \cdot B / kT \) of about 50:1 to 1,200:1 at physiological temperatures. These figures, on average, are significantly larger than those reported for most magnetotactic bacteria, which have magnetic moments of 0.2–1.7 fAm\(^2\) (24–29). There are notable exceptions, however, such as *Magnetobacterium bavaricum* (10–60 fAm\(^2\)) (30, 31) as well as...
some vibroid (8 fAm²) (31) and some coccoïd bacteria (2.4–54 fAm²) (26).

Diebel et al. (18) used magnetic force microscopy (MFM) for detailed magnetic measurements on substructures of magnetic inclusions in an embedded trout olfactory epithelium. They obtained 0.5 fAm² (μ · B/kT ~ 6) for a group of crystals close to the scanned surface. The MFM is particularly sensitive to magnetic structures close to the surface of a tissue block but may underestimate the total magnetic moment of an intracellular inclusion dipping steeply away from the surface and extending 1 or 2 μm into the depth coordinate. The physical reason is the fast decay (1/r³) of the magnetic stray field with distance from the magnetic source region. The rotating-field method in contrast quantifies the total magnetic moment of an individual cell.

Given that the cellular magnetic inclusions are made of single-domain magnetite (470 kA/m saturation magnetization) and assuming that all crystals are magnetized along the direction of the cellular magnetic dipole moment, just like in a bacterial magnetosome chain, our cellular magnetic moment values of 4–100 fAm² translate into a minimum magnetite volume of 0.01–0.2 μm³ per cell. Taking typical crystal dimensions of about 50 nm (c.f. Fig. 2a in ref. 18), we obtain a minimum of 70–1,700 crystals per cell. It is clear that the crystals are not arranged in a linear chain, which would result in highly elongated inclusions exceeding the typically observed length of 1–2 μm, which also rules out a collapsed single-chain structure, as sometimes observed as a preparation artifact of magnetotactic bacteria (32). Rather, we suggest that the particles are arranged in the form of tightly packed bundles of chains, perhaps similar to the multistranded chains of magnetosomes described in M. bavariense (31, 33, 34). It is important to note that crystals as small as 50 nm produce a pronounced reflection contrast under the confocal microscope, but the optical resolution limit of about 250 nm does not allow one to resolve the reflective spots seen in Fig. 2 into individual crystals. Each of these spots is likely to represent groups of crystals.

3. The method can be used to test whether magnetic inclusions are linked to the cell membrane, which is an important constraint when it comes to understanding the working principle and possible transduction pathway of magnetic signals in candidate magnetite-based magnetoreceptor cells (5). The fact that we observed an immediate mechanical reaction without differential rotation of candidate cells to a change in the external magnetic field implies that the magnetic torque acting on the magnetic inclusion is transmitted to the cell membrane through a mechanically strong connection rather than by mere viscous coupling across the cytoplasm. Actin filaments or microtubules do not appear to play a key role in the link because crotubules do not appear to play a key role in the link because they keep rotating after filament disruption. We hypothesize that the magnetic crystals are enclosed in a membrane structure contiguous with the cell membrane. This fits with our electron microscopy observations showing that inclusions are located close to the cell membrane.

A mechanically strong connection between magnetic dipole and cell membrane means transmission of stress without requiring motion of the magnetic inclusion, which avoids signal loss through viscous dissipation associated with motion. A number of theoretical models of magnetite-based magnetoreceptors assume rotational motion and conclude that viscous damping is too strong for the receptor to be susceptible to extremely low-frequency (50–60 Hz) magnetic fields due to ac powerlines (4, 35, 36). While this conclusion is theoretically sound, we find no evidence for rotational motion of the magnetic inclusion inside the cell, not even at 0.3 Hz. We therefore suggest that magnetic fields due to ac powerlines may well affect a magnetoreceptor with magnetic inclusions that are strongly mechanically coupled to the cell membrane. Interestingly, such fields have been reported to perturb the magnetic alignment behavior of artiodactyls and have been suggested to interfere with a magnetoreceptor (37, 38). Direct measurements of threshold sensitivity vs. frequency in honeybees (39) found a strong frequency drop-off and it is possible that magnetite-based insect magnetoreceptors might have different cellular physiology.

In our proof-of-principle study, we focused on the olfactory epithelium of trout, which has been previously suggested to host candidate magnetoreceptor cells (15, 18). Ethmoid tissue (21, 40), lateral line (23), inner ear lagena receptors (41–43), corneal epithelium (44), and trigeminally innervated regions of the upper beak of birds (45) are other promising targets when searching for magnetic cells, which should be dispersed to avoid magnetostatic interactions.

In conclusion, we have introduced a new technique for isolating and characterizing candidate magnetite-based magnetoreceptor cells from dissociated tissue. We can reproducibly identify such cells under the microscope using a rotating magnetic field. By switching from transmitted light to reflected light in dark field, one can directly visualize highly reflective intracellular inclusions carrying the magnetism of the cell. Scanning electron microscopy demonstrated that iron-rich domains in magnetically isolated cells correspond to reflective objects under the light microscope. The measured magnetization curves of individual cells are consistent with single-domain magnetite. Surprisingly, the magnetic dipole moment of the candidate receptor cells is much larger than previously estimated and therefore not only sufficient to detect the direction of magnetic north but also likely to form the basis of an accurate magnetic sensory system with which to extract positional information from small spatial variations of geomagnetic field intensity and directions (46). The large magnetic moment also enables magnetoreception during times of low geomagnetic field strengths (47). Our observations indicate a firm connection between magnetic inclusion and cell membrane, which suggests an effective mechanism of transmitting the magnetic torque directly to stress-sensitive transducers without involving rotational motion and viscous damping. We believe that our technique has more control on the isolation of candidate cells than commercially available magnetic cell sorters and therefore sets the basis for a high-throughput method to collect cells for transcriptomic and proteomic analysis so as to study the molecular basis of magnetoreception and magnetite biominaleralization.

Material and Methods

Theory. Consider a magnetic cell free to rotate in a suspension of cells. When exposed to an external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}(t) \) rotating at constant frequency \( f_B \) in the focal plane, a magnetic cell with permanent magnetic dipole moment \( \mathbf{μ} \) stably connected to the cell body will experience a magnetic torque \( \mathbf{N}_{mag} = \mathbf{μ} \times \mathbf{B} \) and a viscous resistance, \( N_{visc} = -\eta C \dot{\varphi} \), where \( C \) is the tensor of frictional resistance coefficients for rotation in a viscous medium, \( \eta \) is the viscosity, and \( \dot{\varphi} \) is the instantaneous angular velocity of the cell. For a spherical cell with radius \( a \), \( C \) is scalar and given by the well-known expression \( C = 8\pi a^3 \) (the components of \( C \) for ellipsoidal cells are treated in the SI Text). Introducing the rotation angle of the magnetic moment, \( \theta(t) = \varphi(t) - \psi \) with phase lag \( \varphi \) and the rotation angle of the magnetic field, \( \varphi(t) = 2\pi f_B t \), where both are measured from the same point of reference in the focal plane, the torque balance can be written as

\[
\mu_B \sin(\varphi - \psi) = \eta C \frac{d\varphi}{dt}
\]

Stationary solutions to this differential equation exist for a constant lag angle \( \psi = (\varphi - \theta) \) between the magnetic moment and the magnetic field vector. Stationary conditions imply: \( 0 = d\varphi/dt \), i.e., \( 0 = d\varphi/dt - d\theta/dt = 2\pi f_B - \omega \), i.e. \( \omega = 2\pi f_B \), and Eq. 1 simplifies to
The maximum frequency \( f_B \) of the external field (of a given intensity \( B \)) up to which the cell can rotate synchronously with the field (i.e., at \( \alpha = 2\pi f_B \)) is given for a phase lag of \( \psi = 90^\circ \) and can be used to measure the magnetic dipole moment:

\[
\frac{\mu B}{2\pi \eta C} \sin \psi = f_B
\]  

where \( f_B^{\text{max}} \) is also referred to as the boundary frequency (30). It is convenient to introduce the magnetorotational mobility \( \alpha \) of a cell, i.e., \( \alpha = \mu/(2\pi \eta C) = f_B^{\text{max}}/B \), which is a constant for a cell dominated by remanent magnetization. If the ratio \( f_B^{\text{max}}/B \) increases with applied field strength, then the induced magnetization plays a role too, even though it is not the total induced magnetization, but only its anisotropic part that contributes to the torque. In the SI Text, it is shown that this anisotropic part of the induced magnetization leads to a \( B^2 \) dependency of \( f_B^{\text{max}} \) once the induced magnetization becomes larger than the remanent magnetization. To determine whether magnetization is due to remanence or to anisotropic magnetic susceptibility, we experimentally determined \( f_B^{\text{max}} \) for a range of \( B \) values for each cell.

**Magnetoscope.** To have precise control over the magnetic field in the focal plane, two orthogonal pairs of square coils were mounted around an inverted optical microscope (Zeiss ICM405 with Epiplan 40x, 0.85 N.A. Hellfield/Dunkelfeld (HD), and 16x, 0.35 N.A. HD). The few magnetic parts of the microscope table were replaced by nonmagnetic ones to ensure a homogenous magnetic field within the sample plane. To rotate the magnetic field in the sample plane, the two coil pairs are fed with sinusoidal currents that have a constant phase shift of \( 90^\circ \) relative to each other. The sinusoidal signals are generated by a two-channel arbitrary waveform generator (model M631, ETC, Slovak Rep.) and amplified with 400 Watt (50 V, 8 Amp) bipolar power supplies (Kepco Inc.).

**Animals and Cell Isolation Procedure.** Rainbow trout (length approximately 10 cm) were purchased from Mauka fish farm, Mas senhausen, Germany and kept in a water circulating tank at 12 °C. Animals were killed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act (TierSchG). For animal killing, dissection, and cell isolation, iron-free nonmagnetic tools made of titanium, ceramics, and glass were used in order to avoid contamination with external iron present in standard lab tools. All tools and labware, if not presterilized, were cleaned in HCl or ethanol. Cell isolation was carried out as follows. After killing, olfactory rosettes were dissected out from both nasal cavities, using capsulotomy techniques. After killing, olfactory rosettes were rinsed with Ringer-2 solution under the presence of papain (Sigma; 0.25 mg/mL) activated with L-cysteine (Sigma; 1.25 mg/mL), under constant stirring. After 10 min, the enzyme solution was removed and cells were washed twice with Ringer 2.

**Identification and Extraction of Magnetic Cells.** For detection of magnetic cells, a drop of the final cell suspension was placed on silanized coverslips (size 0, Menzel-Glaser). Silanization was achieved by adding chlorotributyl silane (Sigma-Aldrich) to glass coverslips and placing them in an oven at 150 °C for 20 min. This step was crucial in preventing cells from attaching to the glass surface. When searching for magnetic cells under the magnetoscope, we continuously rotated the magnetic field vector in the focal plane at a rotation frequency of \( f_B = 0.33 \text{ Hz} \) and field intensity \( B = 2 \text{ mT} \) (activating synchronous rotation of cells with \( \alpha \geq 0.17 \text{ mT}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1} \); see SI Text) and systematically examined the suspension by scanning the preparation until a rotating cell was found. A field of 2 mT is low enough to avoid irreversible switching of single-domain particles. To determine the characteristic orientation time of a magnetic cell at a given external field strength \( B \), we increased the field frequency \( f_B \) up to the point where the cell stopped rotating synchronously with the external field. Detailed magnetic measurements were performed on eight pairs of dissociated rosettes, which for this purpose were prepared in wet mounts with petroleum jelly seal to avoid desiccation-induced fluid motion (evaporation drift), which would otherwise produce additional force couples on the cells that are difficult to correct for in the theoretical treatment. In order to discriminate between cells and possible inorganic contaminants, we applied the lipophilic fluorescent dye FM 1-43x (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 5 μM in the sample solution. For extraction, magnetically identified cells in preparations without coverslip were collected by suction (CellTram vario, Eppendorf) with a microcapillary (FemoTips, Eppendorf) positioned with a joystick-controlled micromanipulator (PatchMan NP2; Eppendorf).

**Image Processing.** The snapshots shown in Fig. 1 of the paper have been extracted from Movies S1 and S2 in the SI Text, each recorded at 15 frames per second with a CCD camera (Chameleon, Point Grey Res.). All snapshots were despeckled with Image J software (National Institutes of Health (NIH)). In addition, the fluorescent images (Fig. 1B) were color-contrast balanced in image J.

**Confocal Imaging.** Dissociated olfactory cells were fixed using paraformaldehyde 4% in PBS with 0.2% glutaraldehyde, mounted with Vectashield hardmount mounting media containing DAPI, and sealed with coverslip. The settings of the confocal reflectance mode of the laser scanning microscope (Leica SP5 with DMI6000 B and x63 objective) were calibrated on magnetite chains in magnetotactic bacteria (15, 48), while Escherichia coli was used as negative control. Further analysis and image presentation were performed using Image J software (NIH).

**Disruption of Cytoskeleton.** For cytoskeleton disruption, dissociates containing spinning cells were observed for at least 30 min after treatment with latrunculin-B (Sigma L5288, final concentration 100 μM) against F-actin or colchicine (Sigma C9754, final concentration 500 μM) against microtubules, in concentrations high enough to disrupt the filaments (49) rather than to just stop them from polymerizing.

**Scanning Electron Microscopic Analysis.** Single cells were extracted and transferred to a coverslip with a grid to locate the cell after drying of the sample. Cells were plasma coated with gold and observed under a JSM5900LV (Jeol) at 15 kV. Elemental analysis was done with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy at 15 kV (Rontec).
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