A Geostationary Optical Seismometer, Proof of Concept


Abstract—We discuss the possibility of imaging the propagation of seismic waves from a very large space-based optical telescope. Images of seismic waves propagating at the Earth’s surface would be an invaluable source of information for investigating earthquake physics and the effect of the subsurface on earthquake ground motions. This application would require ground displacement measurements at about every 100 m, with centimeter accuracy, and temporal sampling on the order of 1 Hz. A large field of view (> 105 km²) is required to measure the full extent of a large earthquake in the areas of interest. A geostationary optical telescope with a large aperture appears to be the most promising system. We establish preliminary technical requirements for such a system, which lead us to consider a telescope with an angular field of view of 0.8° and an aperture greater than 4 m. We discuss and quantify the various sources of noise that would limit such a system: atmospheric turbulence, evolution of ground reflectance and solar incidence angle, and stability of the platform at 1 Hz. We present numerical simulations, which account for these sources of noise. They show that key details of the seismic wave field, hardly detectable using ground-based instruments, would indeed be imaged by such a system. At the upper limit of modern technology, data flow would be about 20–50 Gb · s⁻¹, and data memory would be about 50 Tb.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A NUMBER of geophysical processes can produce displacements at the Earth’s surface over a wide range of time and length scales. These processes include tectonics (earthquakes, transient slow slip, and volcanic eruptions), geomorphology (landslides, soil creep, and sand dune migration), and glaciology (calving and flow of mountain glaciers and ice caps). Remote sensing has proven effective for observing these processes [1]–[3]. However, some of them are very transient, and investigating their dynamics would require a temporal resolution greatly exceeding the capabilities of any existing space-based system. Indeed, current systems are limited to a monthly or, at best, to a daily sampling. Ground-based instruments (e.g., continuously recording GPS receivers, seismometers, or accelerometers) can provide a good temporal resolution, but systematic monitoring of large areas (e.g., the entire state of California or the Pacific coast of the Americas) with high spatial resolution (100 m to 1 km) would require an unaffordable effort of instrument deployment and maintenance. Here, we explore the possibility of such monitoring based on remote sensing. On the application side, we focus on the possibility of measuring seismic waves, as such measurements would bring unprecedented insight into earthquake physics, which remains poorly understood, and on the effect of subsurface structures on seismic waves, a major factor controlling damage intensity during large earthquakes [4], [5]. This application is most challenging due to the transient and faint nature of seismic waves: Large earthquakes typically last between a few tens of seconds and a few minutes; seismic waves travel at velocities on the order of a few kilometers per second and generate surface displacements of typically a few centimeters to a few tens of centimeters, e.g., [6]. The development of physics-based earthquake models is necessary to improve our ability to develop realistic earthquake scenarios and assess their probability of occurrence. At the moment, in the absence of such a foundation, seismic hazard studies are based on simple conceptual models of the seismic cycle on faults which have little physical grounds and observations which are too incomplete to assess the full range of possible earthquake scenarios in the future. Well-constrained source models (the time evolution of seismic slip on the fault) are necessary to develop and test earthquake source models. The determination of earthquake sources from currently available seismological and geodetic measurements of ground motion is a vastly ill-posed problem. A denser coverage in space and time of ground displacement should allow overcoming that limitation and help constrain spatial variations of elastic properties at depth which are known to be a major factor constraining the distribution of earthquake damages. Low-altitude imaging sensors, onboard drones or stratospheric balloons, would provide fields of view that are too narrow. They would also present stability issues for this particular application, which requires extremely accurate registration at all times. Low and medium Earth orbiters only offer a limited temporal monitoring due to their apparent motion;
constellations of satellites have been proposed to overcome this issue [7], [8], but tens of platforms would be required for the proposed application. A geostationary orbit seems a better option, as it would allow near-continuous large field of view imagery. A geostationary radar would either require an extremely large monolithic antenna of kilometric scale or would allow producing only a couple of synthetic aperture radar images a day [9].

In the following, we therefore focus on the potential of a very large optical geostationary telescope. We consider more specifically the case of the San Andreas Fault System in California (see Fig. 1). There, the seismicity is indeed quite active and characterized by frequent and shallow strike-slip earthquakes. The probability of observing measurable events in this area of high interest is relatively large. We also introduce a pointing strategy for the spacecraft that would allow it to image earthquakes occurring anywhere along the Pacific coast of the Americas, between 50° S and 50° N, raising the number of large earthquakes ($M > 6$) that can be measured to 2.3 per year.

Hereafter, we first introduce the main characteristics of California seismicity and the associated seismic waves. We use these to derive preliminary requirements for the design of a space-based imager. We review useful fundamental concepts in atmospheric optics and photometry and discuss the various sources of noise that could affect the capability of the telescope to detect seismic waves. We analyze the potential of two possible techniques to measure both the horizontal and the vertical ground motion induced by seismic waves. The horizontal and vertical motions are retrieved from the sequences of images using optical-flow and photoclinometry techniques, respectively. Preliminary elements of a mission design, including optics, detection, data handling, and pointing strategy, are then presented.

### II. Preliminary Seismological Requirements

#### A. Characteristics of Southern California Seismicity

Southern California has experienced a total of 46 earthquakes with magnitude $M_w > 5$ over the decade 1990–2000. The largest event over that period, the Landers earthquake in 1992, reached a magnitude of 7.3 (see Fig. 1) [10]. It is estimated that Southern California has more than 99% chance of experiencing a $M_w > 6.5$ earthquake over the next 30 years and an 80% chance of a $M_w > 7.0$ earthquake [11]. Those events are mostly strike-slip earthquakes, producing predominantly horizontal shear displacements at the Earth’s surface. Earthquakes with magnitudes larger than 6.5 generally produce surface ruptures, while smaller earthquakes do not. These characteristics make California an appealing target for the proposed monitoring system.

#### B. Characteristics of Seismic Waves

Seismic waves generated by earthquakes depend on the source characteristics as well as on propagation effects [6]. They typically propagate at speeds of kilometers per second, and the particle velocity at the ground surface is typically on the order of centimeters per second or above in the epicentral area. Ground motion recordings provided by seismological stations are routinely exploited to derive earthquake source models (e.g., [12]) or to image subsurface variations of elastic properties (e.g., [13]). Capturing details of the wave field pattern that might reveal the physics of seismic ruptures has remained a challenge, however.

Imaging seismic waves with dense sampling both in time and space would provide seismologists with a fundamentally new type of data. As an illustration, Fig. 2 shows the ground motion generated by a typical dynamic rupture simulation of a strike-slip earthquake of magnitudes $M_w > 7$ [14]. This particular source model involves a supershear rupture front, i.e., rupture fronts that propagate faster than shear waves: A sonic boom-like wavefront [12], [15], [16] is indeed identifiable as a Mach cone. This phenomenon has been observed in analog experiments.
[15] and has been inferred to occur during some large earthquakes, although never through the sort of direct observations that could be afforded with a dense observational system. These simulations illustrate patterns of seismic wave propagation that are not recoverable from sparse ground instrumentation and which, if measured, would provide invaluable insight into earthquake source physics.

Fig. 2 also shows versions of the earthquake scenario scaled down to $M_w$ 6 and 5. Here, we assume that the fault rupture reached the surface in all cases and that the observations have a broad frequency band. Under these conditions, an important characteristic of seismic waves, resulting from the self-similarity of earthquake sources, is that the peak ground velocity does not depend much on the magnitude of the earthquake. A change in magnitude essentially results in a change of spatial scale as illustrated in Fig. 2.

C. Preliminary Seismological Requirements on the Instrument

Our goal is to measure surface deformation between successive images acquired at an appropriate sampling rate and ground spatial resolution so that the wave field generated by earthquakes of magnitude $M_w$ 5 or above would be measurable. We focus on a sampling rate of 1 Hz, the nominal (and optimistic) high frequency limit of conventional earthquake source imaging studies, which arises from the inaccuracy of synthetic Green’s functions due to large uncertainties on the fine-scale structure of the crust. We estimate that independent measurements of ground displacement at about every 100 m, with a measurement accuracy of about 1 cm, would be required. The proposed accuracy allows the measurement of ground velocities up to distances of a few rupture lengths away from the fault, a near-source range with high information content to infer fault slip. The proposed spatial sampling is several times finer than the shortest wavelengths (at 1 Hz) that propagate in the shallowest layers of the crust with low seismic wave velocities of several 100 m·s$^{-1}$. Such requirements place tight constraints on the exposure time. Indeed, as waves propagate over the surface at an apparent speed of up to 3 km/s, the integration time needs to be lower than about 0.03 s for consistency with a spatial sampling of about 100 m.

Finally, the instrument field of view needs to be large enough to cover the main area of activity being studied. For a satellite that is pointed solely at Southern California, the needed field is about 500 $\times$ 250 km$^2$. As discussed hereinafter, however, a different pointing strategy, one that reacts to earthquakes detected by ground seismometers to identify foreshocks, is able to point the spacecraft with a higher likelihood of success. The field of view required if this approach is followed can be somewhat smaller.

III. MEASURABLE QUANTITIES FROM OPTICAL IMAGES

A. Horizontal and Vertical Components of Surface Deformation

Surface deformation induced by seismic waves locally affects both the photometry and the geometry of the images acquired from a geostationary telescope. The horizontal displacement of the ground induces geometrical distortion of the images that may be recovered by various tracking techniques, for example, by image cross-correlation. In that case, the measured quantity would be the two horizontal components of the local ground displacement increment between successive images.

Seismic waves also produce vertical displacements, which can induce a photometric effect measurable by photoclinometry, or “shape-from-shading,” procedures. The tilt associated with the horizontal gradient of vertical ground displacements locally modifies the solar incidence angle and, thus, the photometric budget. Changes in the reflected light are measured and spatially integrated to estimate the component in the solar incident plane of the incremental displacement between successive images (see Fig. 3).

B. Useful Photometry and Geometrical Optics Considerations

In this section, we estimate the number $I$ of photons detected by the optical telescope, and we derive the photometric equation for the images. Spectral radiances ($W$·sr$^{-1}$·m$^{-2}$·nm$^{-1}$) are simulated in the visible to short-wave infrared with a spectral sampling of 10 nm using a direct multiple scattering transfer model [17] with the following entries: aerosol-free U.S. 1976 Standard Atmosphere Model with a vertical integrated water vapor content equal to 3.25 cm and varying solar zenith angle.

The radiance $L_{\text{toa}}$ at the top of the atmosphere is [18]

$$L_{\text{toa}} = L_{\text{atm}} + E_{\text{ground}} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1 - S \rho} \cdot T_{\text{atm}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $L_{\text{atm}}$ is the down-top radiance of the atmosphere, $E_{\text{ground}}$ is the spectral solar irradiance of the ground ($W$·m$^{-2}$·nm$^{-1}$), $\rho$ is the reflectance of the ground, $S$ is the spherical albedo of the atmosphere, and $T_{\text{atm}}$ is the transmittance of the atmosphere.
The average number $I$ of detected photons per detector (per image pixel) is then

$$I = \frac{\pi \cdot c \cdot h}{4 \left( \frac{f}{T} \right)^2} \cdot S_d \cdot \Delta t \cdot \int_{\lambda} T_{\text{opt}} \cdot L_{\text{toa}} \cdot \rho_d \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot d\lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $T_{\text{opt}}$ is the transmittance of the optics, $f$ is the focal length, $D$ is the diameter of the primary mirror, $S_d$ is the surface of the elementary detector, $\Delta t$ is the integration time, $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, $h$ the Planck constant, $\rho_d$ is the quantum efficiency of the detector, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength. Note that $T_{\text{opt}}$, $L_{\text{toa}}$, and $\rho_d$ depend on $\lambda$.

It is convenient to use the simple scattering approximation of $L_{\text{toa}}$, which slightly differs from the more accurate multiple scattering (1), in order to estimate the noise and the amplitude of differential photoclinometry

$$L_{\text{toa}} = L_{\text{Sun}} \cdot T_{\text{atm}}^2 \cdot \rho \cdot \cos(\theta) + L_{\text{atm}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $L_{\text{Sun}}$ is the solar radiance, $\theta$ is the solar incidence on the ground, and $\rho$ was estimated within the spectral range of the CDD at a sampling rate of 10 nm from MODIS data (visible channels) over the area of interest [19]. The average visible reflectance for the area is 0.18, and the standard deviation is 0.03.

IV. EVALUATION OF THE VARIOUS SOURCES OF NOISE

We analyze various sources of noise that could obscure the signal induced by seismic waves: meteorology; natural sources of radiance variation; instrumental optical noise, mainly induced by the instability of the line of sight of the optics (the so-called jitter); and detection noise.

A. Meteorology, Probability of Clear-Sky Sunny Conditions

A passive optical instrument requires daylight and clear-sky weather. Southern California experiences more than 291 days of clear sky per year, which is 80% [20].

B. Sun and Sun Incidence

The shot noise induced by the statistical fluctuation of detected photons is a Poisson process, and the associated noise $\sigma_{\text{Sun}}$ on $I$ is the square root of $I$.

The solar irradiance of the ground, and thus the image, depends on the sun incidence angle (3). Because of the relatively low latitude of California, the sun incidence angle varies there from 90° to a minimum of about 10° from zenith. We have considered that the telescope will work with a maximum sun incidence angle $\theta$ max equal to 80° from zenith. This limits the observation condition to an average of 10 h per day.

Moreover, during the acquisition of images, the Earth rotates so that the solar incidence angle changes. This effect induces an extremely low relative variation of $I$ [see (2) and (3)] of about $2 \times 10^{-6}$ per second. For applications which would not require a sampling rate as high as 1 Hz, this effect would be more significant. For example, the relative variation of $I$ between images acquired 1 min apart is on the order of $5 \times 10^{-3}$, which is of the same order as for images acquired exactly 24 h apart.

Because of the constraints on the irradiance of the ground described earlier, the theoretical probability that the telescope will image a particular earthquake is about 33% (which is 291 days per year and 10 h per day).

C. Atmospheric Turbulence

We consider both the phase and amplitude effects of atmospheric turbulence on the image: Because of the atmospheric turbulence, the light received by the telescope is impacted by both wavefront error and scintillation [21].

The atmospheric turbulence layer is a well-known source of geometric distortions in the case of ground-based telescopes [21]–[28]. The effect is small for a telescope in a geostationary orbit, 36 000 km away from the Earth surface. The magnitude of this effect can be calculated by integrating the turbulence profile over the optical path, accounting for the propagation geometry, and is normally expressed in terms of Fried’s atmospheric coherence length $r_0$ [25] for a spherical wave

$$r_0^{-\frac{5}{3}} = 0.423 \left( \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{L} C_n^2(z) \cdot \left( \frac{z}{L} \right)^{-\frac{5}{3}} dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $C_n^2$ is the turbulence structure parameter and the integral is from a point on the ground to the altitude $L$ of the telescope. Using a Hufnagel-Valley 5/7 model for the $C_n^2$ profile [26], $r_0$ is $\sim$360 m at geostationary altitude—much larger than the telescope diameter—indicating that turbulence will have only very small effects on the image.

To compute the apparent ground motion of a patch of diameter $D$ caused by turbulence, we can use the fact that the turbulent layer is very thin compared to the propagation distance to the satellite in order to simplify the problem and assume that the apparent patch diameter is approximately constant throughout the atmosphere. The variance $\sigma_t^2$ of the 1-D tilt of a beam of diameter $D$ propagating through an atmosphere of height $h_{\text{atm}}$ is given by [24]

$$\sigma_t = 3.0 D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{h_{\text{atm}}} C_n^2(z) \cdot dz. \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

If the turbulence were in a thin layer at height $h_0$, then the rms translation of the beam $\sigma_x$—the apparent patch motion for this application—would be just $\sigma_x = \sigma_t h_0$. For 1 arcsecond seeing, the tilt for meter-class apertures is $\sigma_t \sim 1.5 \mu \text{rad}$, and for effective atmospheric height $h_0 \sim 10$ km, the projected ground motion is $\sim$1.5 cm rms for this simple model. More accurately, for an arbitrary turbulence profile, we can sum the translation over the turbulent layers, yielding [22]

$$\sigma_x^2 = 3.0 D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{h_{\text{atm}}} C_n^2(h) h^2 dh. \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

Using the Hufnagel-Valley 5/7 model for $C_n$ ($r_0 = 5$ cm, 7 $\mu \text{rad}$ isoplanatic angle) [26] and assuming 21 m/s for high
altitude wind speed, the integral is evaluated, and the result expressed as rms apparent motion in meters

$$\sigma_x \cong 0.008D^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$  

so that, for a 1-m patch on the ground, the translation is \~{}0.8 cm rms. Over a correlation patch \~{}100 m wide, the apparent motion is less than \~{}0.4 cm rms; hence, this source of noise is negligible.

Less negligible with regard to photoclinometry is the irradiance fluctuation $\sigma$ scint induced by atmospheric turbulence, known as scintillation [27]. We use the Rytov approximation, a wavelength of 1 $\mu$m, the Hufnagel-Valley turbulence model, and a point source because of the incoherent light. Weak fluctuations are expected because $r_0 > \sqrt{\lambda L}$ [19]. The normalized irradiance variance $\sigma_{\text{scint}}$ at the primary mirror of the telescope can be estimated as [28]

$$\left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{scint}}}{I} \right)^2 = 2.25 \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \right)^\frac{5}{6} \cdot \int_0^L C_n^2(z) \left( 1 - z^5 \right)^\frac{5}{6} dz.$$  

The normalized irradiance variance is estimated from (8) to be about 0.02 which is definitely characteristic of a weak turbulence. Because the normalized irradiance variance estimated for the telescope’s entrance pupil is 0.02, it has very little effect on image quality. The total energy of this noise corresponds to about 2% of the shot noise $\sigma_{\text{shot}}$ considered earlier.

D. BRDF of the Ground

The bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) is defined as the ratio of reflected radiance to incoming radiance as a function of the incoming solar incidence angle and of the outgoing viewing angle with respect to the reflector surface’s normal. The BRDF is a 4-D function that defines how light is reflected at an opaque surface. A local change of the BRDF changes $\rho$ and, thus, the photometric budget of the images according to (1). Because of the rotation of the Earth, the solar incidence angle changes from one image to another. Although the BRDF is constant for a Lambertian ground, it may vary in the desert, vegetated, and urban contexts that comprise the studied area. The maximum variation estimated for the BRDF of natural scenes is about 1% per degree [29]–[31]. The variation of the apparent reflectance would thus be, at most, on the order of $10^{-3}$ per minute in the context of this study. The effect of BRDF variations is therefore negligible.

Windblown sand and dust, potentially brought in suspension due to ground shaking in the epicentral area, would also change the apparent BRDF. Potential collapse of buildings would also dramatically change the BRDF in urban areas. Those effects are highly context dependent and are not addressed in this study.

V. MEASURING HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENTS FROM CORRELATION OR OPTICAL FLOW

It has already been demonstrated that horizontal displacements can be measured with subpixel accuracy from optical remote sensing images [3], [32]–[39]. In these studies, horizontal displacements were measured using image cross-correlation because correlation techniques can be made insensitive to the strong variations of contrasts that often characterize images of natural scenes acquired days, months, or years apart. In the case of a geostationary satellite acquiring images at the high temporal frequency envisioned here, the variation of contrast with time may be very low, thus allowing easier estimation of the displacement of the images with time. Thus, optical-flow techniques are of interest [35], as they yield subpixel accuracy when there is very good photometric stability between images. In the previous section, we have shown that images acquired less than 1 s apart would present relative radiometric stability better than $2 \times 10^{-6}$. This would theoretically contribute to a noise level on the measurement of horizontal displacements lower than 1/1000th of the pixel size from optical flow [36]. The reported accuracy in current gradient-based optical-flow estimation is, however, not better than 1/100th to 1/1000th of a pixel [35]. Better accuracy would require further development, including calibration of the detectors at the subpixel scale.

Several factors limit the performance of correlation and optical-flow techniques. These need to be evaluated in the context of this study. On the sensor side, they include aliasing, quantization, nonuniform CCD response to viewing angle, electronic noise, and inaccuracies of the correlation and optical-flow procedures [40]. On the object side, they include differences in viewing and sun incidence angles, uncertainty on the topography, sparse self-affine distribution of optical scatters in natural scenes [41], and temporal decorrelation of the scene.

State-of-the-art procedures have achieved accuracy better than 1/10th of the pixel size on real data [3], [36]–[39], [42], [43], mainly from the opportunistic use of images acquired with different solar incidences and viewing angles, with variation as large as $40^\circ$; one byte in quantization and temporal decorrelation over decades.

A geostationary telescope acquiring high-quality images dedicated to the estimate of ground displacements should perform significantly better. We can conclude, from the previous sections, that the residual noise on the estimate of the deformation from geostationary imagery, induced by uncertainty on the topography, difference on solar incidence angle, and temporal change from atmospheric turbulence, would be about a few 1/1000th of the pixel size. This is equivalent to a negligible noise on ground displacements, typically of a few millimeters for a telescope of diameter larger than about 4 m and corresponding to a ground resolution finer than 6 m.

For the reasons outlined earlier, we find that the correlation processing of images acquired in the present context should yield a noise on the estimate of the displacement on the order of 1/100th of the pixel size (rms) when averaged over the correlation window size of 100 m$^2$, with data quantization of about 10 b.

VI. MEASURING NEAR VERTICAL DISPLACEMENTS FROM PHOTOCLINOMETRY

Photoclinometry is a shape-from-shading technique commonly used to derive surface topography from images, interpreting variations in brightness as being induced by changes in
solar incidence angle due to variations of surface slope (ground tilt). It is mainly used in glaciology and planetary science [44]–[48]. Analysis of the variation in intensity in the image provides the component of the surface slope in the solar incidence plane. The topography is then recovered using spatial integration.

In this section, we analyze the potential of photoclinometry to estimate the near vertical component of seismic waves. We thus only consider the transient surface topography induced by the earthquake. In the following, we use first-order estimates of the photometric budget to derive the noise level expected for photoclinometry of propagating seismic waves; detailed equations of photoclinometry can be found in [43]. Because this technique does not rely on correlation, we suppose that the ground resolution of the images used for photoclinometry, is the same as the spatial resolution requested for the displacement measurement map (about 100 m). The transient topography modifies the local slope of the ground and, thus, the solar incidence on the ground. Differentiation of (3) with respect to θ yields

$$\Delta L \approx \rho L_{Sun} T_{atm}^2 \sin(\theta) \Delta \theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The estimate of topography from photoclinometry might be very complex in variable land covers mainly because measured radiances depend on two unknown parameters which are the ground reflectance and the incidence angle. In this application, i.e., differential photoclinometry, we take benefit of the very small variations of the incidence induced by earthquakes in order to mitigate this difficulty. Because $L_{atm}$ is typically an order of magnitude below $\rho L_{Sun} T_{atm}^2$ in the visible, we assume from (9), in order to estimate the level of noise, that

$$\Delta \theta \approx \frac{\Delta L}{\rho L_{Sun} T_{atm}^2 \sin(\theta)} \approx \frac{\Delta L}{L \cdot \tan \theta} \approx \frac{\Delta I}{I \cdot \tan \theta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The estimation of $\Delta z = G R \Delta \theta$ from (10) with accuracy in the 1–10-cm range would require very good stability of the relative irradiance $\Delta I / I$, in the $10^{-5}–10^{-4}$ range at midsolar azimuth of about $34^\circ$. Thus, while the correlation procedure requires very good spatial resolution, photoclinometry requires very good photometric accuracy.

The noise $\sigma(\Delta z)$ on $\Delta z$ can be estimated from (10)

$$\sigma(\Delta z) \approx \frac{G R}{I \cdot \tan \theta} \cdot (\sigma_{Sun} + \sigma_{stab} + \sigma_{detect})$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\sigma_{stab}$ is the noise on $I$ induced by the changes in the line of sight and $\sigma_{detect}$ is the detection noise. The instrument could benefit from a highly stabilized line of sight now achievable with recent systems such as the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) [49]. For pointing stability $\theta_{stab}$ in the range of 1 milliarcsecond at 1 Hz, corresponding to a relative displacement on the ground $(L \cdot \theta_{stab})$ of about 17 cm, $\sigma_{stab}$ would be

$$\sigma_{stab} \approx L \cdot \theta_{stab} \cdot |\text{grad}I|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

From (11) and (12), we find that $\sigma(\Delta z)$ is 9 cm for the case of a 4-m telescope and an incidence angle of 10°. To achieve this accuracy, the quantization of the measured flux should be about 16 b, in order to measure the small variations of $I$. In practice, this requirement will limit accurate photoclinometry to areas that receive direct illumination from the sun.

VII. ELEMENTS OF MISSION DESIGN

A. Pointing Strategy

Two different strategies could be adopted regarding the pointing of the instrument. The telescope could be dedicated to the monitoring of a single zone known to have a high probability of yielding useful signal, such as Southern California. This approach has the advantage of assuring that earthquakes in this area of prime interest would be seen but the disadvantage that there are few such earthquakes.

An alternative strategy, one that would allow observation of many more earthquakes, is being explored [50]. This strategy reacts to earthquakes detected by ground seismometers, slewing the satellite to point at the epicenters of earthquakes above a certain magnitude, for example, 5. Some of these earthquakes will be foreshocks of large earthquakes. With the spacecraft pointed in the right direction, these would be observed. For example, placing the spacecraft in a geostationary orbit over the Pacific coast of the Americas, observations could be made from Southern Chile to Southern Alaska. Analysis of the history of earthquakes in this region suggests that, by following this strategy, the spacecraft would observe an average of three earthquakes of magnitude 6 or larger each year [47]. This strategy would also be effective even with a smaller field of view, 0.4° or even less.

B. Telescope

Given our preliminary requirements on spatial resolution, radiometric sensitivity, and horizontal displacement measurement accuracy (whether from correlation or optical flow), we estimate that the primary mirror should, at minimum, be 4 m wide. While there is no example of such a large telescope for Earth observation, at least one is currently in development for astronomical observations, the 7-m-wide JWST [51]. In the following, we show simulations computed assuming a 4-m and a 10-m telescope.

To carry out the first observational strategy, we consider a field of view that completely covers Southern California from a geostationary orbit. Such a field of view would be very large ($500 \times 250$ km$^2$ or about 0.8°). Providing good image quality over that full field of view presents an interesting optical design challenge. It will also require very large detectors in the focal plane. To avoid aliasing, the focal plane pixel sampling should permit near-critical sampling of the diffraction-limited point spread function. The cutoff frequency of the telescope’s optical transfer function is $D/\lambda f$, and the Nyquist criterion requires two samples per period of the highest frequency. The $500 \times 250$ km$^2$ field of view would hence be composed of 10 Gpixels for the 4-m telescope and up to 56 Gpixels for the 10-m telescope. The corresponding ground sample distances (pixel
sampling) for the 4- and 10-m telescopes would be about 3.6 and 1.5 m, respectively.

The field of view could be substantially smaller if the second observational strategy is followed: 300 km by 150 km, for example. This is still a very large field, requiring billions of pixels: 3.5 Gpixels for the 4-m telescope. Temporal sampling should be in the range of 1–2 Hz. Billion pixel cameras have already been developed [52], [53], and the instrument could benefit from state-of-the-art high back-side illuminated CCD or CMOS detectors, with high quantum efficiency, high pixel QE uniformity, low read noise (~10 electrons), vertical antiblooming [54]–[56], high full-well capacity, high linearity, and very low image persistence [57], [58].

C. Data Handling

The data flow rate required to support correlation processing would be in the range of 20–50 Gb · s⁻¹, assuming a 2-Hz temporal sampling (so as to image surface deformation at 1 Hz). Onboard data storage of about 20 to 50 Tb would be utilized to store data sequences up to 100 s long, compatible with the typical duration of $M_w \approx 7$ earthquakes. These would be downlinked at a slower rate. Photoclinometry would utilize the same data, down sampled after downlink to 100 m to match the lower spatial resolution required. Down sampling will also increase the quantization to 16 b or better over the larger 100-m sampling area.

The very large data flow rate required to read out full frames at 80 Gb · s⁻¹ is an engineering challenge. Currently, the gigapixel camera of the LSST allows a frame rate of 0.5 Hz [59], and the performances of space-based data storage units already exceed 6 Tb in memory capacity, with input data rate better than 0.5 Gb · s⁻¹ [60]. The management of the full data is thus achievable, but it should be noticed that data flow could be significantly reduced by data compression, such as by transferring irradiance variations only: Areas of equal irradiance with respect to an SNR of, for example, 1000 for correlation or optical flow could be determined and not sampled. An archive of data could also be recorded over the year, and a predictive Kalman filter could help to reduce the data flow.

D. Stability

The stability of the line of sight of the telescope can be considered at temporal frequencies either above or below the readout rate. The instability of the line of sight at frequencies greater than 1–2 Hz will induce a blur, reducing the effective spatial resolution. The instability at lower frequencies would induce a uniform shifting of the images. The state of the art in pointing stability of space-based optical systems and the various sources of noise described in text. We have used additive white Gaussian noise models with variance associated to the various sources of noise discussed earlier. The horizontal components of the seismic wave field are assumed to be measured from image correlation or optical flow with the performance described earlier. The vertical component of the seismic wave field is assumed to be measured from photoclinometry, also based on the parameters discussed earlier. It is seen that both techniques should be able to provide images of the wave field with sufficient resolution such that the key features of the source might be retrieved. Although estimates obtained from the 4-m telescope are somewhat noisy, most of the useful signal is recovered, including the Mach cone and high spatial frequency patterns resulting from the transition from a subshear to a supershear rupture. Based on this simulation, the photoclinometric technique looks particularly promising while the accuracy (9 cm) does not reach preliminary requirements expressed by seismologists (see Fig. 4). In fact, because photoclinometry yields spatially dense measurements, the accuracy of each measurement does not need to be as good as the 1 cm required for sparsely distributed GPS stations.

While the area of prime seismological interest in this study is California, a much larger area—the Pacific coast of the Americas—is actually accessible from the satellite. Following
our second reactive strategy for pointing the satellite—using ground seismometry to identify possible foreshocks and staring at these regions—will provide data for 2.3 large earthquakes per year on average, from Southern Alaska to Southern Chile [47]. This data would provide unique insight into earthquake source physics and seismic wave propagation effects, encompassing California and other seismically active areas.

The position of the spacecraft, its high optical quality, large field of view, and large field of regard will make it an ideal platform for other scientific studies. The same data could be simply reused for other studies. If different data, such as multispectral data, are required, additional instruments could share the telescope.

Preliminary requirements on the accuracy of the measurement (1 cm) have been derived on the basis of sparsely distributed measurements by ground-based seismic and GPS networks. The very high spatial density of the measurements provided by the telescope may help in releasing part of this constraint. More precise estimate of the requirements, crucial for the definitive estimate of the diameter of the optical aperture, is being pursued, continuing the work begun in this paper.

This paper thus shows that the concept of a geostationary optical telescope to image seismic waves holds promise, although all possible limiting factors have not been considered here and some aspects of the study certainly await more thorough analysis. For simplicity, the models presented here assume a planar fault in a homogeneous elastic half space. In reality, faults are never exactly planar, and the propagation medium is heterogeneous, so that actual wave fields would show distortions and complexities related to both the rupture dynamics and the propagation effects. New seismological methods are being developed that would take advantage of the measurements proposed here to allow the discrimination of source and propagation effects.

The study of other types of geophysical deformations would also benefit from a very large space-based telescope. Most of these studies would be far less demanding in terms of data rate and field of view. It could be envisioned in order to monitor volcanic eruptions, landslides, soil creep, sand dune migration, and calving and flow of mountain glaciers and ice caps, depending on cloud cover. A very large telescope may also be of major interest to monitor coastal areas, including water quality shallow bathymetry. However, because the locations of interest may be outside Southern California, it may be necessary to develop either a repointing capability or a very large field of view. A pointing capability is necessary to carry out the second observational strategy in any event. The design of a very large field large aperture telescope (up to $4 \times 4^\circ$, covering more than $2000 \times 2000$ km$^2$ on the ground) could be envisioned on the basis of the design of the Large Space Survey Telescope [61], [62].
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