KINEMATIC MODEL OF ACTIVE DEFORMATION IN CENTRAL ASIA

Jean-Philippe Avouac
Laboratoire de Détection Géophysique, CEA, Bruyères-le-Châtel, France

Paul Tapponnier
Laboratoire de Tectonique, IPGP, Paris, France

Abstract. The velocity field of present-day deformation in Central Asia is modelled using a set of four rotating blocks (Siberia, Tarim, Tibet, India) on a spherical earth. A best-fit is inverted on the basis of estimated shortening-rates across the main thrust zones (Himalayas, Tien Shan) and measured slip-rates along the principal strike-slip faults (Altyn Tagh and Karakorum) separating those blocks. The fit to the data implies that nearly all the present convergence between India and Asia can be accounted for by slip-partitioning on these four zones, with as much as 50% absorbed by northeasterswards extrusion of Tibet. This suggests that localised deformation governs the present mechanical behaviour of the Central Asian lithosphere.

Introduction

Quaternary faulting and seismicity show that most of the active deformation of Central Asia is partitioned between thrusting in mountain belts and sliding along great strike-slip faults (Figure 1) [Tapponnier and Molnar, 1977, 1979]. The strike-slip fault pattern has long been interpreted to result from extrusion due to the indentation of India into Asia [Tapponnier and Molnar, 1976]. The pattern is asymmetric, indicative of an eastward component in the extrusion of Tibet [Tapponnier et al., 1986; Armijo et al., 1986, 1989]. Such asymmetric extrusion is observed in all laboratory experiments where strain-softerning materials are used and where a free-boundary is taken to represent the southeastern, active margin of Asia, whether in plane strain [Peltzer and Tapponnier, 1988], or under dynamically scaled conditions in 3D [Peltzer, 1988; Davy and Cobbold, 1988]. By contrast, scaled numerical simulations on laterally-confined viscous fluids have been interpreted to imply an overwhelming predominance of crustal thickening, thus casting doubt on the existence of extrusion [England and Houseman, 1986].

The controversy has endured because a quantitative description of the natural strain field induced by continental collision in Asia was missing. Using new measurements of slip-rates on the main fault-zones of Central Asia, we propose here such a description, based on a block model constrained by inversion, that helps assess the importance of extrusion.

Deformation Zones and Rates

Three main zones of active strain divide Central Asia into four principal blocks. Kazakhstan and Dzungaria, both attached to Siberia, are separated from the Tarim by the actively rising Tien Shan mountain range (Figure 1). The westward widening of that range reflects a westward increase of the amount of shortening, and of the present-day shortening rates and seismicity. This increase can be quantitatively accounted for by clockwise rotation of the Tarim relative to Siberia, about a pole located near the eastern extremity of the range at 95.7°E, 43.5°N [Avouac et al., 1991, 1992], in agreement with paleomagnetic evidence [Chen et al., 1991]. The geologically estimated shortening-rate in the eastern Tien Shan, 6±3mm/yr [Avouac et al., 1992], at a distance of about 750km from the pole, is thus compatible with the centennial seismic shortening rate, averaged along the whole range, 13±7mm/yr [Molnar and Deng, 1984].

The low level of seismicity south of the Tien Shan implies that the Tarim block (Figure 1), a strong Precambrian craton, suffers negligible strain. Over a length of 2000 km, the left-lateral Altyn Tagh Fault, largest strike-slip fault of Asia, separates the Tarim from Tibet [Tapponnier and Molnar, 1977]. Between 81° and 97°E, this fault keeps a strike of N80°E. From the offsets of post-glacial morphotectonic features visible on panchromatic SPOT images, Peltzer et al. [1989] deduced an average Holocene slip-rate of ~30 mm/yr along the central stretch of the fault. To the east, the rate decreases to ~5 mm/yr near 96°E, as motion becomes transferred to oblique splays in the eastern Kunlun, Qaidam and Qilian Shan [Peltzer et al., 1988, 1989; Meyer, 1991; Tapponnier et al., 1990]. West of 81°E, the fault bends to N100°E for 400km along the Yurungkax and Karakax valleys (Figure 1), where the Holocene slip-rate first inferred from SPOT by Peltzer et al. [1989] from offsets now mapped and measured in the field [Avouac, 1991] is ~20 mm/yr.

Four present-day deformation within the Tibetan plateau involves mostly strike-slip faulting and E-W extension [Armijo et al., 1986; Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1989]. The principal strike-slip faults lie near the southern edge of the plateau, along the dextral Karakorum-Jiali Fault Zone (KJFZ) [Armijo et al., 1989, Figure 1]. From offset postglacial morphotectonic markers mapped in the field, Armijo et al. [1989] deduced a Holocene slip-rate of 15±7mm/yr along that zone east of 88°E. From similar markers in the field and on SPOT images, Liu et al. [1991] determined a Holocene slip rate of ~32 mm/yr on the right-lateral Karakorum fault west of 80°E. Between the Altyn Tagh fault and KJFZ, present-day strain within central Tibet is moderate [Armijo et al., 1986, 1989]. West of 85°E, most of it occurs along the Langtang-Gazha Co fault, a left-lateral splay of the Altyn Tagh [Peltzer et al., 1989, Figure 1], along which Holocene left-lateral movement was recently confirmed in the field [Liu et al., 1991].

South of KJFZ, southern Tibet is the site of active, NS normal faulting (Figure 1). By relating the corresponding Holocene extension rate (10±5 mm/yr) to divergent overthrusting along the Himalayan arc, Armijo et al. [1986] have obtained a Holocene shortening rate of 20±10mm/yr in the Himalayas. This estimate is consistent with the centennial seismic shortening rate (18 mm/yr) [Molnar and Deng, 1984] and with the rate derived from Late Cenozoic sedimentation in the Ganges fluvial basin (18±7mm/yr) [Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1989]. South of the Himalayas, the minor seismicity and low topography imply that the strong Indian Precambrian craton behaves, like the Tarim, as a relatively rigid block.

Modelling and Results

We take the simplified map of Figure 2 as a basis for
kinematic modelling. As that of Armijo et al. [1989], our model involves four undeforming, rotating blocks (Siberia, Tarim, Tibet, India). The Tien Shan thrusts are represented by a great circle arc following the middle of the range. The Longmu-Gozha Co fault, southern splay of the Altyn Tagh, is neglected. Distributed extension in southern Tibet, right-lateral slip along KJFZ and thrusting in the Himalayas, are taken to result from crustal partitioning of deep oblique movement between central Tibet and India along a single lithospheric interface following the Himalayan arc. That arc is divided into three segments with different shortening azimuths. The strike-slip rates on Figure 2 and Table 1, with their 1-σ uncertainties (65% confidence intervals), are derived from the data of Pelztet al. [1989] and Liu et al. [1991] by averaging individual offset measurements, assuming that their ages vary between 8 and 12 ka, most likely age-range of deglaciation in Tibet [Gasse et al., 1991]. Although well constrained, the ±3 mm/yr slip-rate in the Karakax valley underestimates the total strike-slip motion between Tibet and Tarim, because slip on the Longmu-Gozha Co fault is neglected. This rate is therefore ascribed a large, arbitrary uncertainty of 20 mm/yr (Table 1).

The kinematics of the model are defined by the Euler vectors describing the motions of the three mobile blocks relative to Siberia. We sought the model for best-fit of the data set of Table 1, according to a standard inversion procedure. A priori constraints are taken to be the most secure parameters describing rigid block-motion in Central Asia, namely the Nuvn-1 Euler vector of the movement of India with relative to Siberia [DeMets et al., 1990] and the position of the pole of rotation of the Tarim relative to Siberia [Avouac et al., 1991, 1992]. As in global plate kinematic models [Minster and Jordan, 1978; DeMets et al., 1990], the inversion is done by minimizing weighted least-squares errors:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(R_{i}^{obs} - R_{i}^{pred})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$

where N is the total number of data, $R_{i}^{obs}$, is the ith rate in the data set (Table 1), $\sigma_{i}$ is the standard error assigned to this datum, and $R_{i}^{pred}$ is ith rate predicted from the model parameters. Our best-fitting model predicts slip rates that fit the data within less than 6 mm/yr, with a small total error, $E_{\text{min}}$, of 0.36 (Table 1). For each model parameter, the 65% confidence interval is that within which $E < E_{\text{min}} + 1$ [Press et al., 1986]. Our total error is much smaller than that in the block model of Armijo et al. [1989], (9.2, Table 1), because that first model was based on Minster and Jordan's [1978] RM2 In/As Euler vector and lacked the new slip-rates estimates on the Altyn Tagh and Karakoram faults and constraint on the Ta/Si pole.

The main features of our model are shown on Figure 3 and Table 2. In the Siberian reference frame, the Tarim rotates clockwise about the pole at 43.5°N, 95.7°E, at a rate of 0.65 ± 0.30°/Ma. Tibet rotates counterclockwise about a pole at 44.2 ± 5°N, 64.4 ± 12°E, at a rate of 0.89 ± 0.35°/Ma. The 65% confidence ellipse of the latter pole (comprising all pole positions for which $E < E_{\text{min}} + 1$ [Press et al., 1986]) is centered at 44.5°N, 52°E, with a semi-major axis of 15° in the direction N103°E and a semi-minor axis of 5°. Our model confirms the existence of an eastward component of motion of central Tibet relative to either Siberia or India (extrusion). In the Siberian reference frame, Tibet's present-day rate of motion at 33°N, 90.5°E is 40 mm/yr north-eastwards, absorbing 50% of the convergence between India and Siberia, 20% more than inferred by Armijo et al. [1989]. The In/Si convergence predicted by Nuvn-1, whose direction is 20° clockwise of that of RM2 [DeMets et al., Figure 48, 1990] thus creates more rapid extrusion than RM2, given our assumptions.

Consequences

Although slip-data on the more numerous active faults of eastern Tibet and China is insufficient to constrain the
TABLE 1. Estimated and Predicted Slip-Rates on Faults of Figures 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datum</th>
<th>Obs. Rates (mm/a)</th>
<th>Pred. Rates (mm/a) [Armijo et al., 1989]</th>
<th>Pred. Rates (mm/a) (this study)</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aty1</td>
<td>19.9 ± 20.</td>
<td>22.8 -22.3</td>
<td>24.6 -7.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aty2</td>
<td>70.8 ± 10</td>
<td>31.0 -0.0</td>
<td>77.2 -13.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kar</td>
<td>-32.0 ± 8.0</td>
<td>-13 -9.4</td>
<td>-31.2 -8.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Him1</td>
<td>-18.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>-5.1 -16.7</td>
<td>-29.5 -15.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Him2</td>
<td>-18.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>3.3 -23.0</td>
<td>-21.8 -19.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Him3</td>
<td>-18.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>13.2 -28.4</td>
<td>-12.8 -18.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsh</td>
<td>-13.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>10.2 -17.1</td>
<td>0.0 -12.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Error: 9.2 ± 0.36

Strike-parallel motion: positive if left-lateral. Strike-perpendicular motion: negative if shortening. Last column gives contribution of each datum to model. Total error is from (1).

TABLE 2. Euler Vectors Defining Best-Fitting Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Lat. (°N)</th>
<th>Long. (°E)</th>
<th>ω (°/Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tarim</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>-0.65 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibet</td>
<td>44.2 ± 5</td>
<td>64.4 ± 12</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counter-clockwise rotations in Siberian reference frame are taken to be positive. Uncertainties correspond to 68% confidence intervals derived from data uncertainties. A priori constrained parameters are italicized.

Fig. 3. Best fitting kinematic model of active deformation in central Asia derived from inversion, with four blocks model of figure 2, of data in table 1. Euler poles describing motions of Tibet and Tarim relative to Siberia, of Tibet relative to Tarim, and senses and rates of rotation and motion are shown. Large open arrows indicate major block motions in Siberian reference frame. Senses and values east of 95°E are not constrained by inversion.

Kinematics of present-day movements in regions east of 95°E, several consequences of our model are worth discussing (Figure 3). Crustal thickening in the Qaidam-Qilian Shan must absorb only a fraction of Tibet’s motion [Meyer, 1991; Tapponnier et al., 1990]. (Figures 1 and 3), lest these mountains be greater and more seismically active than the Himalayas. With ~15 mm/yr of shortening converted into crustal thickening in these mountains [Meyer, 1991], the remaining motion probably causes a clockwise rotation of the curved blocks separated by the left-lateral Kunlun and Xianshui He strike-slip faults (Figure 4). This is because such faulting, a surface-change process, changes the rotation (Figure 4a), rather than its amount [England and Molnar, 1990]. In addition, the similar curvature of the faults [Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1989], (Figure 4b) permits accommodation of differential block rotation, which leads to a southward increase in the amount of extrusion and rate of rotation (Figure 4c). Since thrusting along the Lungmen Shan, a range smaller than the Tien Shan, with a poorly developed Cenozoic foreland basin, is unlikely to absorb more than 10 mm/yr of shortening, South China probably

Fig. 4. Simplified kinematic model of extrusion transfer from Tibet to South China, across Qinghai and Sichuan, by rotation and escape (a) of blocks separated by left-lateral strike-slip faults with similar curvature (b). Counter-clockwise rotation of South China is predicted (c).

Fig. 5. Velocity field, relative to Siberia, derived from best-fitting four-block model (a). Comparison with typical velocity field of plane-strain indentation of plasticine block with free boundary on right side, after Peletzer and Tapponnier [1988] (b).
moves southeastwards at a minimum rate of 10-15 mm/yr relative to Siberia (Figure 3). If the shortening gradient along the Jungmen Shan were small, the transfer mechanism of Figure 4 predicts that South China might also rotate clockwise, as may the Ordos and adjacent North Chinese blocks (Peltzer and Tapponnier, 1988).

The fit between estimated and predicted slip-rates implies that our block model provides, within ±10%, an adequate quantitative description of present strain in central Asia. Apparently, movement along the fault-zones separating the blocks suffices to account for nearly all the convergence between India and Western Siberia. The velocity field derived from the model (Figure 5a) is asymmetric, with curved, dominant motions towards the East and changes in velocity directions resembling those observed in unilaterally confined indentation experiments (Figure 5b). It reflects rather fast extrusion towards Asia’s SE edge along strike-slip faults, implying that strain localization on them and moderate horizontal stress across that edge govern the mechanical behaviour of the Asian lithosphere (Peltzer and Tapponnier, 1988).
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