Fluid-driven seismicity in a stable tectonic context: The Remiremont fault zone, Vosges, France
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1. Introduction

Some relocated seismic events, which have small magnitudes (ML < 4.8), are found to align along a 40 km-long fault zone flanking the southern Vosges Massif to the west. It joins to the south with the epicentral area of the historical 1682 earthquake (Io = VIII MSK). The Remiremont cluster was preceded by a period of seismic coalescence and triggered outward of bilateral seismic migration. The 1984 seismic crisis developed along a well defined 3km-long vertical plane. In both cases, migration rates of the order of 5–10 km/yr over 30 km-long distances are determined. This pattern requires some mechanism of stress interaction which must act over distances of the order of 1 to 20 km within years. Given the low tectonic activity and the magnitudes of the events the stress transfer cannot result from co-seismic elastic loading or from transient strain at depth. We suggest that the seismic activity reflect rupture of asperities driven by fluid-flow in a zone of relatively high permeability.

2. Tectonic and Geosetting Setting

The Vosges massif lies west of the Rhine Graben which is part of the Cenozoic rift system of Central Europe and has been active tectonically since the late Eocene [Brun and Gutscher, 1992; Ziegler, 1992]. Since the mid Pliocene, activity in the southern Rhine graben has been dominated by left-lateral shear on the N-S faults that parallel the graben axis (Figure 2) [Abkorner, 1975]. The Hercynian Vosges Massif is composed of two regions, the Saxo-Thuringian Vosges and the Modanubian Vosges, which lie respectively south and north of the Lalaye-Lubine fault zone (F2 in Figure 1). In this study, we focus on the southern Vosges, which consist mainly of crystalline rocks (granitoids, migmatites, leucogranites) overlain with Upper Devonian to Dinantian cover [Fluck et al., 1991] (Figure 1). The long geological history has resulted in a complex faults pattern [BRGM, 1999]. The major tectonic feature is the Sainte-Marie-aux-Mines fault which cuts the southern Vosgian Massif from NE to SW (F1 in Figure 1). Although it is conspicuous in the morphology (Figure 2), this fault is considered as an exhumed, now inactive, ductile left-lateral strike-slip fault [Fluck, 1991].

Since the installation of the LDG permanent seismic network in 1962, some seismicity has been recorded in the southern Vosges. The local magnitudes (ML) range typically between 1 and 4.8, making a typical Gutenberg-Richter distribution with a b-value of 0.83 ± 0.03 (maximum likehood estimate). The seismicity makes a N-NE trending strip that extends from the epicentral area of the 1682 historical event over a distance of about 40 km (Figure 1).

The coincidence with other minor historical events (Figure 2) shows that it has been a long-lived seismic structure. The seismicity is dominated by two seismic crisis which took place in 1973–1974 and 1984–1985 around the towns of Epinal and Remiremont respectively (Figure 2). The few available focal mechanisms in the area, including those related to the main shocks near Epinal and Remiremont, are consistent with a roughly N30°W principal compressive stress (σ1) and N60°E (σ3) minimum principal stress [Delouis et al., 1993; Mueller et al., 2000] consistent with the World stress map that suggest a stress tensor driven by the Alpine collision.

3. Relocation of Instrumental Seismicity

In order to analyze its spatio-temporal characteristics, the seismicity was relocated more precisely. We selected a set of 350 events recorded by at least 3 permanent LDG stations. Arrival time data from each shock were used for the relative positions of the events using a hypocentroidal decomposition method [Pavlis and Booker, 1983]. The relocation technique is based on relative arrival
was also monitored from a local telemetered seismic network. Events were found to align along a N-S 3 km segment. This crisis occurred at the northern extremity of this zone. The relocated events are then determined using a 1-D velocity model [Delhaye and Plantet, 1976]. The $\sigma$ uncertainty on epicenter location using this technique is estimated to be 1 to 2 km. Depth determinations remain poorly constrained and have uncertainties of the order of $\pm 5$ km.

A subset of data, corresponding to the Remiremont seismic crisis, have been relocated from waveform correlation technique, using one master event, [Plantet and Cansi, 1988] because the events were sufficiently close to one another compared to the distance to the local network. Using such waveform cross-correlation methods was successful to achieve high location accuracy, especially in depths. The resulting relative locations are precise to better than 250 m in general. These events are shown in red in Figures 2a and 2b.

### 4. Results

The relocated events cluster along a relatively narrow N20°E trending zone, about 3 km wide, that extends for nearly 25 km from Val d’Ajol to Eloyes. The 1984–1985 Remiremont crisis occurred at the northern extremity of this zone. The relocated events were found to align along a N-S 3 km segment. This crisis was also monitored from a local telemetered seismic network [Haessler and Hoan-Trong, 1985], which yielded depths constrained to better than about 500 m. Most hypocenters were observed to fall on a vertical plane at depths between 6 and 8 km [Haessler and Hoan-Trong, 1985]. Such a distribution is consistent with left-lateral slip on a vertical plane as suggested from the focal mechanism of the Ml = 4.8 main event in 1984 (Figure 1). Note however, that the seismic sequence differs significantly from that expected for aftershocks triggered an elastic co-seismic stress distribution [e.g., King et al., 1994]. First it extends along a linear segment rather than being distributed within lobes of increased Coulomb stress. Second it extends to 1–2 km of the epicenter where stress variation are probably smaller than 0.01 to 0.05 bar (computed for a 0.1 cm displacement on a 10/100 km2 fault plane) whereas aftershocks are generally mostly confined to the area where Coulomb stress variations are in excess of 0.1 bar [e.g., King et al., 1994].

The seismicity during the Remiremont crisis (inset in Figure 2) roughly follows the scarp along the western bank of the Moselle valley, but there is no clear continuous morphological feature that can be associated with the seismic zone at a larger scale.

[10] The Val d’Ajol-Remiremont seismic zone more or less connects to the north with another cluster near Epinal that trends about 30°W (Figure 2). Most of the events in this cluster relate to the 1972–1974 crisis. Again, there is no obvious correlation with known geological faults or morphological features.

### 5. Spatio-Temporal Characteristics of the Seismicity

Given that most of the seismicity clusters on a roughly NS zone, the temporal pattern may be investigated by simply plotting the epicentral latitude as a function of time (Figure 3). Actually, such a plot is difficult to read because the seismicity is not evenly distributed with time. We use the event number in the sequence as an abscissa (Figure 3). We observe a general migration to the south. Several periods can be distinguished however. From 1964 to 1978, most of the seismicity is confined in the Epinal area (a and b in Figure 3). After about 1971 the seismicity intensifies and remains confined to a small segment, less than 1–2 km long.

Figure 1. BRGM Geological map from north east France, region of the southern Vosges Massif. Major identified fault traces are reported in black, names given by numbers. Green dots represent the instrumental seismicity recorded continuously by the LDG network since the year 1964. Historical events are reported in yellow polygons. Focal mechanisms after [Plenefisch and Bonjer, 1997; Nicolas et al., 1990; Delouis et al., 1993; Bonjer, 1997; Lachaize, 1982]. 330 small earthquakes were detected by the LDG seismic network from 1964 to 1999 (Figures 1–2) and we reported the historical earthquakes from the [SIRENE, 1998] database, back to year 849.

Figure 2. Shaded DEM from the north east of France, region of the southern Vosges Massif. Red dots represent the selected events for relocalisations and the red frame show the area where we investigated for seismo-tectonic structures in this issue; Green dots, the instrumental seismicity recorded continuously by the LDG network since the year 1964, relocated. Historical events are reported in yellow triangles. Blue squares show the position of the three LDG seismic stations, which allow to record the minor events. Inset show the surrounding area of the 1984 seismic events and a detailed DEM showing no trace of any superficial deformation associated to a NS cumulative scarp, cutting through the Moselle recent sediments. Note that interepicenter separations is below the order of the location error ($\pm 5$ km).
The period between 1978 and 1984 corresponds to a reorganization of the seismicity pattern. The seismicity keeps going on near Epinal and, starting in 1980, suddenly migrates to the south at a rate of about 5–10 km/yr.

Starting around 1978, some seismicity lights up well to the south of Epinal, at latitudes around 47.9°N in Figure 4, and then migrates to the north between 1980 and 1984. The two branches merge at latitudes around 48°N. Note that during this period of migration the seismicity delineates a particularly narrow zone. Between 1984 and 1991, the seismicity is confined to the Remiremont area. The Remiremont seismic swarm started by the end of 1984, with a main shock on the 29th December 1984 (Ml = 4.8). After 1991 the seismicity migrates farther to the south, activates a swarm around latitude 47.9°N until about 1996, and then becomes more diffuse (Figure 4).

In order to assess the migration-clustering pattern we have plotted the 1964–1999 sequence of epicentral latitudes in the Remiremont area (Figure 3a). We also show a close up view at the pattern made by the aftershocks that are be relocated using the doublet technique (between 12/1984 an 11/02; Plantet and Cansi, 1988) (Figure 3b). On both graphs; the event are sorted by number, without taking time intervals in account.

6. Discussion and Conclusion

Although the details of the spatio-temporal pattern are complex some simple features can be noted. First, periods of migration and periods of clustered activity seem to alternate. During periods of clustered activity seismicity gets more intense and activates a relatively small zone such as during the Epinal and Remiremont crisis. Second point is that during migration seismicity is confined to a relatively narrow zone. These two features suggest that the seismicity is organized at the scale of the studied area. The 1-D migration pattern suggests that stresses are transferred along some kind of fault zone, although none could be clearly identified.

Migration patterns are commonly observed in active tectonic area. Depending on the geometry of the seismic zone and on migration rates they are generally taken to reflect co-seismic stress redistribution [Nalbant et al., 1998], viscoelastic deformation of the lower crust and uppermantle [Sanders, 1993], or fluids flow e.g. [e.g. Miller et al., 1996; Noir et al., 1997; Jacques et al., 1999]. The size of the seismic area in the southern Vosges is large compared to the small magnitudes (Ml of the order of 1 to 4.8). It makes it improbable that this organization results from co-seismic stress interactions. In the case of the southern Vosges there is no clear fault zone and deformation rates are very low. We therefore think that deformation of the lower crust and uppermantle cannot be advocated.

Unexpectedly our observations show parallels with the pattern of seismicity observed on the San Andrea’s fault near Parkfield where evidence for the involvement of fluids have been found [e.g., Johnson and McEvilly, 1995]. Small earthquakes with magnitude less than 4 form clusters that break in migration sequence.

We similarly propose that the organization of the seismicity pattern in the southern Vosges results from the connectivity of fluids. The linear seismicity would reflect a zone of low permeability that would allow the propagation of transient pore pressure changes. The periods of cluster activity might be ascribed to periods of pore pressure increase around barriers. Migration is
Figure 5. Permeability estimation of the crystalline substratum of Southwestern Vosges. Induced seismicity experiments at Soultz-sous-Forêts indicate that the brittle crust in intraplate regions such as France can be stressed, pore pressures are close to hydrostatic, and in situ bulk permeability is ~10–15 to 10–16 m2 to compare to our results [after Townend and Zoback, 2000].

then stopped until the permeability barrier has totally ruptured. Given the characteristic time and length if this diffusive process, we calculated equivalent permeabilities in or zone. Permeability at the scale of 1 to 10 km in Remiremont area is typically of the order of 10–13 to 10–16 m2 [Townend and Zoback, 2000], and such values were actually found from the hydrothermal experiments at Soultz in the northern Vosges [Shapiro et al., 1997] (Figure 5). During hydraulic fracturing experiments at Soultz-sous-Forêts site, the local network recorded more than 9000 microseismic events. Those events have been induced in a spatial domain of 1000 m around the well. These micro earthquakes are considered to trace the diffusion of the pore pressure in the granitic fractured substratum. It is characterised by a circulation of fluids between the Triassic flat sedimentary cover and the fractured underlying Hercynian basement, which is probably also the case on the western flank of the Vosges. In addition, the permeability values obtained at Soultz are in agreement with those calculated for the Epinal-Remiremont and are of the order of 10–15 m2 [Scott Phillips, 2000].

[21] It has to be noticed that the earthquakes alignment is located just at the outcropping boundary between the Hercynian basement and the Mesozoic horizontal cover. Those horizontal sediment formation could guide fluid circulation toward the crystalline basement, where infiltration could occur along pre-existing vertical fissures. At places, crystallisation processes may contribute to sealing pockets of fluids where pore pressure could then build up. It is improbable that pore pressure increase might be driven by some kind of interseismic shear as proposed along an active fault zone [Sleep and Blanpied, 1992].

[22] We therefore suggest that fluid pressure must build up differently, possibly in response to dissolution-recrystallisation processes, or thermal processes.

[23] Acknowledgments. This paper has benefited from comments by two anonymous reviewers, and from discussions with Marc Nicolas.

References


BRGM, Carte Géologique de la France, scale 1/1,000,000 (6th edition) 1999.


Lachaize, M., La sismicité instrumentale (1962-80) de la région Limagnes-Jura Souabe et ses implications tectoniques, PhD, 1982.


